In fuence of Cavity Pretreatments on the Fracture Resistance of Premolars with Self-adhesive Cemented Composite Inlay

7 o ¹, Zahralowkaf V =

Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study is to investigate whether di erent cavity pretreatment approaches a ect the strength of premolars resto self-adhesive (SA) resin cemented-composite resin inlays after mechanical and water aging.

Materials and methods: A total of 120 intact maxillary premolars were divided inton 10 gr up s (io-occluso-distal (MOD) cavities were prepared in the teeth of nine groups, except group I in which the teeth remained intact. In group II, cavities were unrestored. Following for of composite resin inlays for groups III X, in group III, the inlays were cemented using the etch-and-rinse (E and R) adhesive/convention cement. In other groups, cementation was performed using a SA cement with or without cavity pretreatments as follows: group IV: SA cent group V: acid etching of enamel and dentin, group VI: acid etching of enamel, group VII: universal adhesive in the selective enamel-etching group VIII: universal adhesive in the E and R mode, group IX: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) conditioning, and group X: 20% poly acid conditioning. After aging processes, static fracture resistance was tested. Data were analyzed using one-way 4000/05 and Dunn tests Results: Fracture resistance of the 10 groups yielded a signi cant plke@@@004) (The median fracture resistances in Newton were the following: Gr=I 102 G r I# 31^{PC}, Gr I# 78 G r I¥ 50 G r ¥ 43 G r V 77 G, Gr V 80 G r VI 401^{PC}, Gr IX 439^{PC}, and Gr X 312

Conclusion: Unlike the conventional method, SA cementation could not restore the strength of inlay-cemented premolars. Selective en acid etching with or without universal adhesive signi cantly increased the fracture resistance.

Clinical signi cance: Selective enamel acid etching is recommended for increasing the fracture resistance of the SA cemented composite to the level of intact teeth.

Keywords: Acid-etching, Fracture resistance, Inlay, Self-adhesive cement, Universal adhesive.

The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice (2019): 10.5005/jp-journals-10024-2605

INTRODUCTION

Adhesive restorations are known to strengthen the weaken elecaten Center, School of Dentistry, Shiraz University of Medical structure following cavity preparation with the removal of paraginals, Shiraz, Iran

ridges! This reinforcement associated with well-adapted and egression Author: Neda Hosseini, Department of Operative margins could guarantee long-lasting restorations in damaged destilistry, Oral and Dental Disease Research Center, School of An indirect approach is preferred to direct composite resin, especially, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, Phone: in wide cavities in terms of marginal sealing due to minimated 1-6263193, e-mail: n.hossieni304@gmail.com

impact of polymerization shrinkage, improved physical/mechanikato cite this article: Sha ei F, Jowkar *et al.* In uence of properties, and also simply producing correct proximal contaitysPretreatments on the Fracture Resistance of Premolars with and contours⁴. The advantages of composite resins compared adhesive Cemented Composite Inlay. J Contemp Dent Pract to ceramics have resulted in their widespread use as intraction/actal(7):828 833.

restorations. Composite resins exhibit less abrasive e ectsSourcetor support: Shiraz University of Medical Sciences opposing tooth and greater fatigue/fracture resistance, especial of interest: None

during try-iff5 The lower the elastic modulus, the better the-stress

distribution and the better the bonding between composite resin

and tooth structure and luting resin cement could contribute ported with con icting results Despite initial acidity due to higher fracture resistance of the restored teeth, creating a maximid biorokonomers, the high viscosity of SA cement and low etching restoration and reinforcing the restored teeth, creating a maximid biorokonomers, the high viscosity of SA cement and low etching restoration and reinforcing the restored teeth, creating a maximid biorokonomers, the high viscosity of SA cement and low etching restoration and reinforcing the restored teeth, creating a maximid biorokonomers, the high viscosity of SA cement and low etching restoration and reinforcing the restored teeth, creating a maximid biorokonomers, the high viscosity of SA cement and low etching restoration and reinforcing the restored teeth on determines and of the two adhesive interfaces, the weakest one determines at a fastmear layer removal contribute to super cial interaction bond strength. Dierent treatments providing su cient surfaxith dental structure, resulting in low bond strength of SA-cemented interlocking at the cement tooth structure interfaces restored teeth. Set, are recommended and mechanicating ability of SA cements have been evaluated systems in two types, E and R and self-etch (SE), are recommended to be successful, with others being unsuccessful, depending restored teethowever, SA resin cements are applied without the brand of SA cemeiffs? However, no study has examined adhesive system. This is associated with lower technique settre view of these treatments on the strength of restored teeth, simplified application and short cementation time; hence, the presequently, this study was designed to test the null hypothesis attractive in clinical practilebwever, the e cacy of adhesive tating that di erent treatments in a cavity prepared for inlay have bonding compared to those of E and R or SE cements have been at a presequently.

[©] The Author(s). 2019 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In groups V X, the inlay was cemented with Bifix SE as

MATERIALS AND METHODSIn groups V X, the inlay was cemented with Bifix SE as
described in group IV, following di erent cavity pretreatmentsFollowing the approval of the research protocol by the
Single-rooted premolars, extracted for orthodontic reasons, were
selected. The teeth were intact with no defect and fracture or crack drying. SA cementation was performed.
In group VI (EPA/SA), only the enamel surfaces were acid etched
and disinfected in 0.5% chloramine solution and then stored is seconds.
distilled water at 4°C. The buccopalatal and mesiodistal dimension
of the teeth, measured with a digital caliper (Mitutoyo Diginatic a universal adhesive, Futurabond U (VOCO), was applied on
Mitutoyo, Kawasaki, Japan), were 9 and 7 mm, respectively in the and dentin surfaces for 20 seconds, followed by gentle
teeth in a cylinder of self-curing acrylic resin up to 1 mm
pelow the cementoenamel junction (CEJ), their roots were covered
with a fugure was replaced with surfaces, Futurabond U was applied similar to that in group
polyether impression material to mimic the periodontal ingament
a 0.2 0.3 mm layer of melted wax. This layer was replaced with surfaces, Futurabond U was applied similar to that in group
polyether impression material to mimic the periodontal ingament
as an etch-and-rise approach.

polyether impression material to mimic the periodontal ligament as an etch-and-rise approach. The long axis of the tooth was perpendicular to the base of the ingroup IX (EDTA/SA), the enamel and dentin surfaces were in group IX (EDTA/SA), the enamel and dentin surfaces were

cylinder. The teeth were randomly separated in 10ngrd20s (conditioned with 17% EDTA (Master-dent, Dentonics, Inc. USA) for Group I (intact): the intact teeth served as a negative control. The 60 seconds and rinsed for 30 seconds and gently air dried. other teeth were subjected to inlay preparation.

MOD INLAY PREPARATION

In group X (polyacrylic acid (PAA)/SA), the enamel and dentin surfaces were conditioned with 20% polyacrylic acid (Cavity Conditioner, GC, Tokyo, Japan) for 10 seconds, rinsed for 20 seconds, Standardized MOD cavities were prepared with conical rangently air dried.

ended diamond burs (#7875, Teeskavan, Iran) in a high-spende cemented inlays were nished, polished, and stored in handpiece under water and air cooling. The preparation aistanded water at 37°C for 1 week. A single operator (N/H) performed round internal angles, 6° divergent walls, and an occlusal box withinlay preparations, fabrication, and cementation. Types, a width of two-thirds of the intercuspal distance and a bucspealatations, and manufacturers of the utilized materials are dimension of 3-50.2 mm. The cervical wall was placed 1 mm about in Table 1.

the CEJ in enamel, with a depth Of 24mm at the isthmus. The

preparations had only buccal and palatal walls, with no axial walls Materials characteristics used in this study

The diamond bur was replaced after every ve preparations.	latorial/manufactura/		
Group II (prep): The prepared teeth were not restored and ¹ / ₁ as a positive control.	et no.	Туре	Composition
INLAY RESTORATIVE PROCEDURES	i x SE/VOCO, uxhaven,	SA resin cemen	Bis-glycidyl methacrylate (Bis GMA), aliphatic,
Following the isolation of the cavity surfaces with a media water-soluble gel (Johnson and Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ, the composite inlays were fabricated with Z250 (3M ESPE, S MN, USA) using the oblique incremental technique. Light curing	ermapy/1714134 JMC OF USA), St. Paul, J was		aromatic and acid methacrylate, benzoyl peroxide, amines, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT)
carried out with a halogen light unit (Coltolux, Coltene Whale Attstatten, Switzerland) at a light intensity of 50°0TmeV/cr light intensity output was checked every ve restorations of radiometer from the same manufacturer. The composite inlays	dendM/VOCO, Uxhaven, WithaAy/001217 5 were	Conventional resin cement	Bis GMA, benzoyl peroxide, amines, barium aluminum boro-silicate glass
at 100°C for 10 minutes. After air-particle abrasion of theo surfaces of inlays with 50- m alumina particles (Micro Dento-Prep, Ronving, Denmark), washing and air drying, a si agent (VOCO, Cuxhaven, Germany) and then a layer of Solobor (VOCO) were applied and light cured for 20 seconds.	AY(Abond U/VOCO, บรัศธุงอิh, โซโทซเกy/1550316 Iane nd M	Dual-cure universal adhesive	Liquid 1: acidic adhesive monomer, hydroxyethyl- methacrylate (HEMA) Bis-GMA, HEDMA, urethane dimethacrylate (UDMA) catalyst
The inlays were cemented in groups III X. In group III (E ar Con), the cavity surfaces were etched with 35% phosphoric a 15 seconds. After rinsing for 15 seconds and gentle air drying, Sa M (VOCO) was applied and light cured for 20 seconds. Two past and catalyst) of the conventional resin cement (Bi x QM, VOCO mixed through self-mixing tip and inserted on the surfaces of the	nd R/ acid for doboond M/VOCO, est(boose, Germany/ \$396627 e cavity	E and R adhesiv	Liquid 2: ethanol Initiator, catalyst Methacrylates, acetone, oromatic and acid deriva tives, an organic uoride component
and inlay. The inlay was cemented under 1 kg seating load for 5 fr after removing the excess cement with a microbrush, light curr performed for 40 seconds from each side of the tooth.	MAXMaster-dent, Agtwaiss, Inc, SA/9515	Conditioning agent	0.5 M EDTA in water
In group IV (SA), the mixed SA cement, Bi x SE (VOCO) applied to the cavity and inlay surfaces by means of a self tip and the inlay was cemented similar to that in group III. Ja	awiay conditioner/ hixানিeyo, apan/1402261	Conditioning agent	20% polyacrylic acid, 3% aluminum chloride hexahydrate

AGING PROCEDURES AND FRACTURE RESISTANCE TEST

All the specimens were subjected to 100,000 cycles of ap of 50 N loading forces at a frequency of 0.5 Hz in a ma simulation machine (Chewing Stimulator CS4; SD Mecha Feldkirchen, Westerham, Germany he mechanical load was applied to the center of the occlusal surface in contact w cusp ridges using a stainless steel antagonist with a roun that was 6 mm in diameter in a water environment. After a water storage period and thermal cycling (Vafaie Inc, Tehra for 1000 cycles at 5°C/55°C (dwell time: 15 seconds), the were subjected to a compressive load at a crosshead s 1 mm/min in a universal testing machine (Zwick Roell, Germany). The compressive load was applied parallel to th axis of the tooth with a 6 mm diameter stainless steel ar placed in the center of the tooth with contacts only on th and palatal cuspal inclines. The peak force required for fract recorded in Newton as the fracture strength (FR) value.

Data were analyzed with the normality test (Kolmod Smirnov test), verifying lack of normal distribution. Therefo were analyzed with one-way ANOVA and Dun $\alpha test$.

FRACTURE MODE EVALUATION

After FR testing, the specimens were assessed to classify the modes as follows:

Mode I: Cusp fracture extending to CEJ

Mode II: Cusp fracture extending below the CEJ or frac the cusp inlay interface

Mode III: Partial restoration fracture along with cusp fra the CEJ

Mode IV: Partial restoration fracture along with cusp extending below the CEJ

Mode V: Longitudinal fracture dividing the tooth along th (Fig. 1)

RESULTS

Fracture resistance values in Newton (median, SD) afor the Figs 1A to F: Di erent types of fracture: (A) Mode I, cusp fracture 10 groups are presented in Table 2.

A statistical comparison of FR data of the study groups (@yealbede II, cusp fracture at the cusp inlay interface; (D) Mode III, partial significant differences between themQ(001). Among the estoration fracture along with cusp fracture at the CEJ; (E) Mode IV, experimental groups, group VII (805 N), group III (785 N), and taken storation fracture along with cusp fracture extending below the group VI (775 N) revealed the highest and comparable FR, GAth (R) Mode V, longitudinal fracture dividing the tooth along the axis signi cant di erence from group I (1025p5>N0.05) but with

signi cant di erences from the other groups Q5). In group IV of SA cements were all dentin/enamel bond strength assess-(500 N) and group V (435 N), the second highest and comparable PRS 20 they have some de ciencies in relation to clinical were obtained, which were not signi cantly di erent from store tests were performed on at small surface areas VIII (411 N), group XI (397 N), and group II (311 N), but sigh qao地 structure; therefore, the e ects of more complex inlay higher than that in group X (\$120.02). The latter group hegivity, the relevant C-factor, and compliance of cavity design were the lowest FR with a significant difference from other reported. Moreover, seating force during cementation process (p < 0.02), except for groups VIII, IX, and II. that might overcome the high viscosity/low penetration of the SA

In most of the groups, mode I and mode II fracture petitiens was not applied. The at bonding surface is abraded using were the predominant modes, except for the intact group is or high silicon carbide to standardize the smear layer. However, this all the fracture patterns consisted of mode I. procedure cannot mimic the clinical situation since bur-prepared

DISCUSSION

compact smear layer4 This might impede bonding interaction This study evaluated the e ect of cavity pretreatments on FSR and mild SE cements the FR test is thought to premolars with composite inlay cemented using the SA ceaves ably provide the possibility of simulating clinical conditions A number of studies on the e ects of pretreatments on theandachewing cycles on restored teeth.







extending to CEJ; (B) Mode II, cusp fracture extending below the CEJ;

dentin surface of inlay cavity is composed of thicker and more





Table 2:	Fracture	resistance	in	Newton	(median	and	600e)ann
fractur	e mode in	the 10 stu	Jdy	grotup152)	(n		

Groups	Median*	Mean – SD	Fracture mode
Group I	1025.5	1046.6 - 138	12/0/0/0/0
Group II	311.0 ^{°C}	370.3 - 132	10/2/0/0/0
Group III	785.Ô	745.1 - 203	5/5/1/1/0
Group IV	500.B	520.7 - 154	5/6/1/0/0
Group V	435.O	505.0 - 134	4/7/0/1/0
Group VI	775.Ô	748.0 - 122	5/6/1/0/0
Group VII	805.O	792.9 – 181	4/5/3/0/0
Group VIII	411.0 [°]	466.1 - 136	4/5/1/2/0
Group IX	397.8 ^C	404.0 - 104	3/5/1/2/1
Group X	312.ຮົ	315.0 - 90	2/5/1/3/1

d the contrary, the high viscosity of the cement might impede its in Itration into a thick and compact exposed collagen network of acid-etched dentin, leaving the nonresin-impregnated layer vulnerable to degradation processore by the pressure during seating of inlay might lead to collagen matrix collapse. The use of a low-viscosity adhesive could wet/in Itrate better than that performed by SA cement on etched dentin. The association of E and R adhesive with SA cement, especially for the Bi x SE with Solobond M, was reported to increase the short-term dentin bond strength⁵. However, overall, no positive e ect of dentin etching with or without adhesive on FR was recorded after aging. During aging, cyclic loading induced degradation of the exposed collagen with no resin impregnation by endogenous proteases in the two dentin-etched groups, especially with no adhesive application.

*Medians followed by the same superscript letter did not di er statistically signi cantly according to the Dunn test at a signi cance level of 5% Mode I, cusp fracture extending to the CEJ; mode II, cusp fracture extend Below the CEJ or at the cusp-inlay interface; mode III, partial restoration been reported the higher bonding stability of their SE fracture along with cusp fracture extending below the CEJ; mode IV, partial restoration was performed along with selective enamel acid tudinal fracture dividing the tooth along the axis

SA group in which only enamel was acid etched and no adhesive Adhesive cementation could increase the strength of prematassubsequently applied. This nding con rmed the important with MOD cavitiles. However, SA cement used in this study old of acid-etched enamel bonding in restoring the strength, not verify this bene cial e ect. Also, various treatments problet for the dentin, SE or SA approach was preferred. Therefore, the SA cementation a ected the FR of the inlay-restored prefmolarity strengthening e ect of SA cementation was related to

aging might have weakened the adhesive bortdlingse aging In the case of EDTA and PAA pretreatments used in this study, processes could reduce reinforcing capacity of adhesive-bloedeesults were not promising, even for PAA; the performance of SA inlay. This bonding reduction might be di erent among variousentation was considerably lower. In this line, an adverse e ect adhesive approaches. In light of our results, E and R adhesive AAppplication on bond strength of the SA resin cement (RelyX with conventional resin cement and selective enamel etching 200 hto enamel and dentin was reported in a recent study, with or without universal adhesive, among di erent treatmentstheriseme adverse e ect on dentin bonding of another SA9cement. to SA cement, were able to somewhat restore the strengthowfethe there are reports of no e ect or positive e ect on dentin inlay-restored teeth to the level of intact teeth, whereas Schooling ability of some SA cements by di erent concentrations of alone could not reach it. This is in agreement with the results depend on di erent a study by Sallaverry et⁴ allowever, enamel and dentin acidrands of the cement used and their compositions. Although milder etching with or without the use of Futurabond U did notes a hiby capacity of PAA and EDTA, compared to acid etching, might this ability. This nding could support the idea that in the baseeofe cial in terms of dentin bonding, it could not establish SA cementing, enamel acid etching only was capable of restunable and strong enamel bonding Phosphoric acid etching the strength of the teeth, while acid-etching dentin with orofviethamel that is a highly mineralized structure compared to that subsequent application of Futurabond U resulted in no beneficial dentin removes the smear layer and partially demineralizes it. e ect on the FR. The subsequent surface with high surface energy is more receptive

Despite consistent promising results of bond strength studies ding.8

of SA cements on acid-etched enamel, convergent results on Athene products (SA cement, Bi x SE and universal adhesive, e ect of dentin acid etching on bond strength, from beneutialabond U) used in the current study were from the same e ect to adverse and no e ect, have been reported.²⁷³⁰ manufacturer. Although the pH of Futurabond U is 2.3, a dual-cured It appears that this e ect has been product speci c. The activator containing this two-component adhesive could prevent substantially in various properties, including chemical composition patibility between the cement and acidic adhesive in deep physical properties, pH, setting reaction, and viscosity. Henperts of the cavity in which the cement would cure through self-are not considered as a unif the through smear layer removal and uring reaction.³³⁹

dentin demineralization facilitated penetration of acidic monomarks cement used with selective enamel-etching with or without of SA cement, especially under seating pressure, lack of **ninterals** ond U exhibited FR in the level of E and R/Con cement. excluded the chemical interaction of the acidic mdh@mersThe use of SA or SE approach in deep dentin of the inlay cavity is

u K '# ') 'h '† '@

831

thought to provide more suitable and e ective bond compated in X, Fok A, et al. In uence of restorative material and proximal to the E and R approach because of not complete removal of theirly design on the fracture resistance of MOD inlay restoration. smear layer. In addition, this cementation approach could helpent Mater 2014;30:327 333. DOI: 10.1016/j.dental.2013.12.006. reduce post-cementation sensitivity that is often observed with cements to prefabricated CAD/CAM ceramic and composite be also considered a simplified, time-saving procedure. Although the sense of the book sense to prefabricate the sense of the sense o

be also considered a simpli ed, time-saving procedure. Although Hikita K, Van Meerbeek B, et al. Bonding e ectiveness of adhesive static loading used in FR test might not have clinical relevan the simplify agents to enamel and dentin. Dent Mater 2007;23:71 80. DOI: it was demonstrated to be a valid method to compare adhesive 1016/j.dental.2005.12.002.

restorative materials. Fatigue loading might produce better not maderia and static loading was demonstrated. Self adhesive resin cements 2011;38:295 314. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2842.2010.02148.x.

This study was conducted on one product of SA cements. With SAK, Guhr S, et al. Shear bond strength of self-adhesive resins compared to resin cements with etch and rinse adhesives to enamel respect to their various compositions, further studies are required dentin *in vitro*Clin Oral Investig 2010;14:193 199. DOI: 10.1007/ to reach to a nal conclusion to answer the question whether S0784-009-0279-z.

additional surface treatment could be suggested to enhance to a RR, Hipólito VD, et al. Bond strength and interfacial cementation, while it negate simpli ed application of SA cements icromorphology of etch and rinse and self adhesive resin. The present study had some limitations. All variables of intraocements to dentin. J Prosthodont 2012;21:101 311. DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-situations were not included. The pulpal pressure was not simula to simula the PX.2011.00794.x.

and the cemented teeth were not subjected to pH changel and the cement so that could interfere with the cement too thesive resin cements to dentin and enamelvitian study. Prosthet Dent 2015;113:220 227. DOI: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2014.08.008.

 De Munck J, Vargas M, et al. Bonding of an auto-adhesive luting material to enamel and dentin. Dent Mater 2004;20:963 971. DOI: 10.1016/j.dental.2004.03.002.

CONCLUSION

Considering the limitations of this study, it can be stated that Monticelli F, Osorio R, et al. Limited decalci cation/di usion of selfadhesive cements into dentin. J Dent Res 2008;87:974 979. DOI:

Contrary to E and R adhesive/conventional resin cementatiom0.1177/154405910808701012. SA-cemented inlay was not capable of restoring the strengthSolfaverry A, Borges GA, et al. E ect of resin cements and aging on Cuspal de ection and fracture resistance of teeth restored with response to resin inlays. LAdhes Dept 2012;15:561 568, DOI: 10.2200/

Among different surface pretreatments, only enamel acidomposite resin inlays. J Adhes Dent 2013;15:561 568. DOI: 10.3290/ etching with or without universal adhesive in the SE mode diad.a29608. dentin surface could provide FR to the level of intact teeth. Polyacrylic acid adversely a ected the strengthening propert systems. J Adhes Dent 2011;13:261 265. DOI: 10.3290/j.jad.a19224. of SA cement.

 Pisani-Proença J, Erhardt MC, et al. In uence of di erent surface conditioning protocols on microtensile bond strength of selfadhesive resin cements to dentin. J Prosthet Dent 2011;105:227 235. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3913(11)60037-1.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

Selective enamel acid etching is recommended for increasing7thevan S, Dos Santos PH, et al. The e ect of dentin pretreatment on the fracture resistance of SA-cemented composite inlay to the levening otensile bond strength of self-adhesive resin cements. J Prosthet Dent 2010;104:258 264. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3913(10)60134-5.

 Stona P, Borges GA, et al. E ect of polyacrylic acid on the interface and bond strength of self-adhesive resin cements to dentin. J Adhes Dent 2013;15:221 227. DOI: 10.3290/j.jad.a29531.

The authors thank the vice-chancellery for research, Slipir Mazzitelli C, Monticelli F, et al. Dentin treatment e ects on the University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, for supporting researending performance of self adhesive resin cements. Eur J Oral Sci (Grant No. #16695). The manuscript relevant thesis of Neda Hossen();118:80 86. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0722.2009.00703.x. 20. Mushashe AM, et al. E ect of Enamel and Dentin Surface Treatment the self Adhesive Research Sciences and State Control Sciences and Sciences and

- Mushashe AM, et al. E ect of Enamel and Dentin Surface Treatment on the Self-Adhesive Resin Cement Bond Strength. Braz Dent J 2016;27:537 542.
- 21. Sha ei F, Doozandeh M, et al. E ect of Di erent Liners on Fracture
- 1. Siso H, Hürmüzlü F, et al. Fracture resistance of the buccal cusps & esistance of Premolars Restored with Conventional and Short Fiber root lled maxillary premolar teeth restored with various technique Beinforced Composite Resins. J Prosthodont 2019;28:e304 e309. DOI: Int Endod J 2007;40:161 168. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2591.2007.011920x1111/jopr.12743.
- 2 Spitznagel FA, Horvath SD, et al. Resin bond to indirect composit@ark@lay TS, Yildirim T, et al. Effects of different cusp coverage new ceramic/polymer materials: a review of the literature. J Esthestorations on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated Restor Dent 2014;26:382 393. DOI: 10.1111/jerd.12100. maxillary premolars. J Prosthet Dent 2016;116:404 410. DOI: 10.1016/
- 3 Prochnow EP, Amaral M, et al. Microtensile bond strength betweinprosdent.2016.02.007.
 indirect composite resin inlays and dentin: e ect of cement20osaikaew P, Chowdhury AA, et al. The effect of dentine surface strategy and mechanical aging. J Adhes Dent 2014;16:357 363. Doreparation and reduced application time of adhesive on bonding 10.3290/j.jad.a31801.
- Cura M, GonzÆlez-GonzÆlez I, et al. E ect of surface treatmeAt and Munck J, Mine A, et al. Meta-analytical review of parameters aging on bond strength of composite resin onlays. J Prosthet Deintvolved in dentin bonding. J Dent Res 2012;91:351 357. DOI: 2016;116:389 396. DOI: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2016.02.016. 10.1177/0022034511431251.



- 25. Takamizawa T, Barkmeier WW, et al. In uence of water storage.com/yama Y, De Munck J, et al. Bond durability of self-adhesive fatigue strength of self-etch adhesives. J Dent 2015;43:1416 14207mposite cements to dentine. J Dent 2013;41:908 917. DOI: 10.1016/j.jdent.2015.10.018.
 36. Santos MJ, Bapoo H, et al. E ect of dentin-cleaning techniques on the
- 26. Coelho-De-Souza FH, et al. Fracture resistance and gap formatioshear bond strength of self-adhesive resin luting cement to dentin. of MOD restorations: influence of restorative technique, bev@per Dent 2011;36:512 520. DOI: 10.2341/10-392-L. preparation and water storage. Oper Dent 2008;33:37 4337DOtha NA, Palamara JE, et al. Fracture strength and fracture patterns 10.2341/07-27. of root- lied teeth restored with direct resin composite restorations.
- 27. Duarte S, Botta AC, et al. Microtensile bond strengths and scanningder static and fatigue loading. Oper Dent 2014;39:181 188. DOI: electron microscopic evaluation of self-adhesive and self-etch res10.2341/13-006-L. cements to intact and etched enamel. J Prosthet Dent 20028;1900a ei FE, Safarpoor I, et al. E ect of light activation mode on the 203 210. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3913(08)60179-1. incompatibility between one-bottle adhesives and light-cured
- 28. Lin J, Shinya A, et al. Bonding of self-adhesive resin cements to enancelmposites: an *in vitre* bear bond strength study. Oper Dent using different surface treatments: bond strength and etchin2009;34(5):558 564. DOI: 10.2341/08-048-L. pattern evaluations. Dent Mater J 2010;29:425 432.
 39. Garlapati TG, Krithikadatta J, et al. Fracture resistance of endodontically
- Benetti P, Boas Fernandes Junior VV, et al. Bonding e cacy of netwreated teeth restored with short ber composite used as a core self-etching, self-adhesive dual-curing resin cements to dental enametaterial an *in vitro* study. J Prosthodont Res 2017;61:464 470. DOI: J Adhes Dent 2011;13:231 234. DOI: 10.3290/j.jad.a19228. 10.1016/j.jpor.2017.02.001.
- 30. Temel UB, Van AE, et al. Bond strength and cement-tooth inter@aci@aroushi S, Lassila LV, et al. Static and fatigue compression test for characterization of self-adhesive composite cements. Am J Dentarticulate filler composite resin with fiber-reinforced composite 2017;30:205 211. substructure. Dent Mater 2007;23:17 23. DOI: 10.1016/j.dental.2005.11.041.
- 31. Zhang Z, Beitzel D, et al. E ect of carbodiimide on the fatigue dtadkaumann M, Sterzenbach G, et al. Evaluation of load testing of growth resistance of resin dentin bonds. Dent Mater 2016;3@ostendodontic restorationeitro: linear compressive loading, 211 222. DOI: 10.1016/j.dental.2015.11.024.

 gradual cycling loading and chewing simulation. J Biomed Mater
- 32. Sai K, Shimamura Y, et al. In uence of degradation conditions on Res B Appl Biomater 2005;74:829 834. DOI: 10.1002/jbm.b.30321. dentin bonding durability of three universal adhesives. J D42ntSha ei F, Akbarian S. Microleakage of nano lled resin-modi ed glass-2016;54:56 61. DOI: 10.1016/j.jdent.2016.09.004. ionomer/silorane-or methacrylate-based composite sandwich Class
- 33. Hanabusa M, Mine A, et al. Bonding e ectiveness of a new multil-restoration: e ect of simultaneous bonding. Operative dentistry mode adhesive to enamel and dentine. J Dent 2012;40:475 484. DØ014;39:E22 E30. DOI: 10.2341/13-020-L.
 10.1016/j.jdent.2012.02.012.
 43. Sha ei F, Tavangar MS, et al. Fracture resistance of endodontically
- Marchesi G, Frassetto A, et al. Adhesive performance of a multi-mode adhesive system: 1-yievaritro study. J Dent 2014;42:603 612. DOI: with or without fiber or nano-ionomer. J Adv Prosthodont 10.1016/j.jdent.2012.02.012.

