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ABSTRACT

Aim: There is an inadvertent alteration of the occlusal surface after dental treatment. However, research concerning the effect of these iatrogenic disturbances on the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is scarce. Hence, the present study aimed to investigate the relationship between sudden iatrogenic occlusal disturbance and its effect on the myogenous temporomandibular disorder (TMD).

Materials and methods: A cross-sectional observational study was conducted among 30 female subjects aged 18 years to 38 years who received treatment (direct and indirect restorations altering the occlusal surfaces of teeth, and oral prophylaxis) in the students' clinics at the College of Dentistry Jazan University. The preoperative assessment included a patient interview, a baseline occlusal record, and bite force analysis. All the assessments were repeated 2 weeks after the treatment. Descriptive statistics were calculated.

Results: As there was no change observed in bite force as well as occlusal disturbances in the control group, no comparative tests could be applied. The treatment-induced occlusal disturbances were observed in 80% of the participants. The mean change in occlusal force on the treated teeth was found to be 5.6 ± 1.1 Newtons. None of the patients reported any symptoms related to pain or restriction in function in the TMJ.

Conclusion: No relation was observed between the abrupt changes in the occlusal force due to dental treatment and the development of the myogenous TMD.

Clinical significance: Iatrogenic occlusal interferences may cause/exacerbate TMDs; hence, extreme caution should be exercised by the clinicians to avoid causing harm to the patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorder comprises a group of chronic pain conditions that can involve the TMJs, masticatory muscles, and associated structures and tissues. Temporomandibular disorder is most commonly observed in individuals between the ages of 20 years and 40 years. Approximately 33% of the population has at least one TMD symptom, and 3–6% to 7% of the population has TMD with sufficient severity to cause them to seek treatment. The subtypes of TMDs routinely seen in patients are myofascial pain and arthralgia, followed by disc displacements with reduction.

The TMDs are multifactorial in etiology, as biomechanical, neuromuscular, biopsychosocial, and neurobiological factors may contribute to the disorder. To highlight their role in the pathogenesis of TMDs, these factors are classified as:

- Predisposing factors (structural, metabolic, and psychological conditions),
- Initiating factors (trauma from occlusion, traumatic injuries), and
- Aggravating factors (parafunctional, psychosocial, or hormonal).

Although the occlusal interferences (OI) had been considered as a significant contributor in characterizing TMD, lately this has been questioned based on epidemiological and experimental studies, and there is a current trend toward making a weak correlation between OI and TMD.

Recently, the iatrogenic factors resulting from dental practice have also been claimed to be contributing factors in TMD. Dental treatments affect the shape of the dental units, thus interfering morphologically and functionally with the harmony of the masticatory system and its components, especially the occlusal condition. Therefore, the emergence of TMD signs and symptoms after restorative treatment is not rare. It seems that the acute morphological alteration of the occlusal conditions as a result of restorative or prosthodontic restoration may interfere with the established functional equilibrium, disturbing the coordinated pattern and timing of jaw muscle contraction.
Most restorative procedures affect the shape of the occlusal surface; however, the iatrogenic effect of dental restoration in TMD etiology has rarely been studied. Hence, this pilot study was aimed to investigate the relationship between sudden iatrogenic occlusal disturbance and its effect on the myogenous TMD.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design**

A cross-sectional observational study was conducted to investigate the relationship between sudden iatrogenic occlusal disturbance and its effect on the myogenous TMD among patients who received treatment at the students’ clinic at the College of Dentistry Jazan University.

**Study Population**

The study population consisted of 30 female subjects aged 18 years to 38 years who received treatment (direct and indirect restorations altering the occlusal surfaces of teeth, and oral prophylaxis) in the students’ clinics at the College of Dentistry Jazan University. The patients were selected on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

**Inclusion Criteria**

- Saudi nationality, and
- No known health disparities at the time of enrolment in the study.

**Exclusion Criteria**

- The patients suffering from any dental problem, for example, loss of posterior support, unilateral posterior cross-bite, patient with extreme overjet and overbite, cracked tooth syndrome, occlusal trauma, and moderate-to-severe periodontal disease, and patients with the history of TMJ disorders were excluded.

**Ethical Clearance and Informed Consent**

The ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Jazan University. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants after explaining the study protocol in the local language.

**Study Protocol**

The research consisted of three groups: two study groups (operative and fixed prosthesis) and one comparison group (oral prophylaxis).

The preoperative assessment included a patient interview to answer questions about pain or restriction in function in the TMJ and a baseline record using the T-Scan III computerized occlusal analysis system (T-Scan, Inc., South Boston, MA, USA). Also, bite force analysis was done for all the participants. The subjects then received their treatment according to the scheduled procedure with the student.

Two weeks after receiving the treatment, the subjects were interviewed again for any pain or restriction in function, and the second record of their occlusion was taken using the T-Scan. Additionally, bite force analysis was done 2 weeks after the treatment. No clinical examination was conducted.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were entered in Microsoft Office, Excel worksheets, and analyzed using the software IBM SPSS v. 20.0 (IBM Statistics; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The normality of the data was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test while Levene’s test for equality of error variances was used to analyze the homogeneity of error variances. Descriptive statistics were calculated. As there was no change observed in bite force as well as occlusal disturbances in the control group, no comparative tests could be applied.

**Results**

A total of 30 female patients with a mean age of 24 ± 5.3 years were included in the present pilot study. The study participants were divided into three groups, namely:

- Group I: patients receiving direct occlusal restoration (operative clinic) total of 10 patients (33.33%).
- Group II: patients receiving indirect occlusal restoration (fixed prosthesis clinic) 2 patients (6.67%).
- Group III: patients receiving oral prophylaxis (periodontal clinic) 18 patients (60%).

The distribution of subjects was 40% in the treatment group, and 60% in the control group.

The treatment-induced occlusal disturbances were observed in 8 (80%) of the participants in group I and all the patients in group II, whereas no occlusal disturbances were found in the control group.

Furthermore, the mean change in occlusal force on the treated teeth was found to be 5.6 ± 1.1 Newtons. This change in occlusal force was not statistically significant (p > 0.05). As expected, no change in bite force was observed in the control group. Also, none of the patients reported any symptoms related to pain or restriction in function in the TMJ. Hence, the results recorded no relation between the abrupt changes in the occlusal force due to dental treatment and the development of the myogenous TMD.

**Discussion**

The present study was conducted to examine the relation, if any, between OI resulting from dental treatment and myogenous TMDs. It was observed that a very high percentage of dental treatments resulted in the creation of occlusal disturbances, and there was a slight change in the bite force in the restored dentition. However, this change was not statistically significant, and it did not produce any symptoms related to TMJ.

The first point to ponder is the alarmingly high percentage of occlusal disturbances introduced after the treatment. It could be because the procedures were performed in a student clinic rather than by experienced dentists. There is a significant difference in the quality of the restoration produced by a skilled practitioner and an amateur. However, in spite of the large number of OI in the restored teeth, none of the patients reported any symptoms related to the TMJ. Furthermore, the mean change in the bite force produced after the restorative treatment was also negligible. These findings further weaken the argument favoring the relationship between OI and TMDs.

The capacity of the masticatory muscles to exert sufficient bite force between the teeth is an indicator of normal function. In patients with TMD, there is a diminished capacity of the masticatory muscle activity. As a result, the maximal bite force values are generally lower in patients with TMD than in the population in general. Hence, bite force was used as an indicator of TMD in the present.

The etiology of TMDs is multifactorial, and various authors have emphasized the importance of occlusion. However, whether
occlusion plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of TMD remains controversial. This argument is based on various epidemiological and experimental studies, and there is a current trend toward making a weak correlation between OI and TMD. It has been reported that 85 to 90 percent of the population exhibit some form of OI.5-11 Other studies conducted in different population groups with different ages and gender have shown that various types of occlusal disturbances were significantly but often weakly correlated with the signs and symptoms of TMD.12-13 Furthermore, experimental studies have also reached controversial results. Some studies have demonstrated no electromyographic response to the artificial OI,14,15 whereas others showed that occlusal disturbances were significantly associated with consistent clinical changes in the electromyographic response as well as clinical symptoms.16-18

The findings of the present study are contrasting to those of a 10-year controlled longitudinal clinical study that researched the probable etiological significance of restorative treatment leading to TMD among 40 participants. It showed that signs and symptoms of TMD were more evident in subjects with restored dentition than in subjects with intact dentition.19 This is generally attributed to inappropriately contoured fillings leading to occlusal instability. Furthermore, over-contoured anterior crowns without adequate lingual concavity will direct the jaw along a retruded closing path, forcing the condyle onto a more sensitive vascular innervation area. This results in the condyles to be more distally placed leading to hyperactivity and fatigue in posterior temporals and the suprahyoid muscles and deep masseter muscles, thereby resulting in inter-articular disc displacement.7

Nevertheless, occlusal interference can cause TMJ dysfunction. Uncoordinated mandibular movements seem to be one of the neuromuscular responses of the masticatory system to OI. Restorative procedures aim to alleviate TMJ dysfunction by eliminating as many OI as possible. However, despite the best efforts and technical skill of the clinicians, OI can be inadvertently incorporated in the restored occlusal morphology.20

Limitations and Recommendations

It can be argued that the sample size in the present study was too small to produce significant result. Hence, it is recommended to conduct future research taking a larger and more homogeneous population study to population the influence of iatrogenic occlusal disturbances. Also, the study revealed a glaring gap in the study population to study the influence of iatrogenic occlusal disturbances. Also, the study revealed a glaring gap in the study population to study the influence of iatrogenic occlusal disturbances. Furthermore, the academicians should work on improving the clinical training of students so that they do not cause iatrogenic problems for the patients.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations, it can be concluded from the present study that:

- Occlusal disturbance after operative and fixed treatment among patients treated in students’ clinic was significantly high.
- A change in the occlusal force of 5.6 Newton did not produce any symptoms indicative of TMJ disorders.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Iatrogenic OI may cause/exacerbate TMDs; hence, extreme caution should be exercised by the clinicians to avoid causing harm to the patients.
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