Systematic Review of Clinical and Radiographic Signs of Pediatric Pleomorphic Adenoma of Minor Salivary Glands
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Abstract

Aim and objective: To examine the clinical signs, radiographical features, and demographics of pediatric pleomorphic adenoma (PA) in the minor salivary glands.

Materials and methods: Several databases were searched for relevant studies. The included studies were assessed for methodological quality. Demographic, clinical, and radiographic data were collected.

Results: Sixteen of 3,121 articles met the inclusion criteria (17 lesions). The mean age was 9.7 ± 3.9 years and majority were females n = 10 (59%). It is commonly presented as asymptomatic swelling n = 16 (94.1%), in the hard palate 13 (76.5%). Radiographically, most were well-defined n = 15 (93.7%) and 8 (47%) caused erosion or displacement of surrounding tissues.

Conclusion: The small size and asymptomatic nature of pediatric PA can render these lesions undiagnosed. On rare occurrences, PA can show calcifications, MRI, or CT enhancement. MRI is the best imaging modality to depict soft tissue content but not subtle erosion of adjacent bony structures.

Clinical significance: The dentist can be the first to detect PA in the mouth of a child. Augmenting clinical examination with radiographic examination is paramount to ensure adequate diagnosis of PA, examine effects on surrounding bone, and maintain close follow-up as watchful waiting is not safe in this population.
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Introduction

Pleomorphic adenoma (PA) is the most common salivary gland neoplasm (45–80%), mainly found in the parotid gland.¹ ² It presents clinically as a painless, firm, slowly growing mass and with 1.5% chance for malignant transformation in less than 5 years.³ Histologically, it is composed of glandular epithelium and myoepithelial cells with a mesenchymal-like background, hence the synonym “benign mixed tumour.”¹ In adults, PA most commonly occurs in females, between the ages of 30 and 60 year.¹ It presents mostly in major salivary glands (53–70% in parotid gland), 44–68% in submandibular gland, and 33–43% in minor salivary glands.¹ Around 3–5% of all salivary gland neoplasms occur in children and adolescents.⁴ ⁵ Similar to adults, the most common site for PA was in the major salivary glands (78–91.6%), mostly in the parotid gland (66–90%), and 8.3–47.4% in minor salivary glands.³ ⁴ ⁶ ⁷

The prevalence of PA in minor salivary glands and malignant transformation is higher in children vs adults (47.4 vs 26.4%) and (47.4 vs 29.8%), respectively.⁷ Recurrence rates are higher in children, up to 23% in parotid glands, compared to adults due to conservative treatment and longer life expectancy in the pediatric population.⁷ ⁸ The radiographic appearance of PA is well-documented in the major salivary glands with imaging modalities such as ultrasound (US), CT, and MRI; however, it may differ when it comes to minor salivary glands.⁶ The dentist can be the first to detect PA a child either through clinical examination or on radiographic images. The detection of PA in minor salivary glands is challenging because, unlike major salivary glands, these can be distributed throughout the maxillofacial tissues. The literature on PA in children in the minor salivary glands is challenging because, unlike major salivary glands, these can be distributed throughout the maxillofacial tissues.
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Depending on the location and size, PA can pose a surgical challenge and risk of recurrence. With higher recurrence rates and malignant transformation, a wait-and-watch approach is not advisable.⁷ Recognizing PA is challenging in the minor salivary glands and in the pediatric population, and delays in diagnosis are devastating given the recurrence and malignant transformation rates. The literature lacks systematic reviews that provide collective data on the most common anatomical sites and radiographic features of pediatric PA. Disseminating this knowledge can increase awareness of PA, improve the diagnosis and, consequently, treatment in the pediatric population.
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The aim of this systematic review is to assess the available literature pertaining to the demographics, clinical signs, radiographic features, and recurrence of PA in the minor salivary glands of children and adolescents.

Materials and Methods

Ethical approval or informed consent is not applicable for this type of research.

This systematic review was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement. The protocol for the systematic review was registered on the international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) #CRD42018091487. PICo (population, interest, and context) was used to formulate the focused question: “What are the clinical, radiographic, and demographic features pleomorphic adenoma in minor salivary glands of children and adolescents?”

Population: children (less than 18 years of age)

Interest: the clinical, radiographic, and demographic information.

Context: minor salivary glands

Database Search

Four electronic databases (PubMed, MEDLINE, Scopus, and Web of Science) and one search engine (Google Scholar) were used to identify studies published in the English language, with no restrictions on year of publication up to the first week of August 2021.

Keywords were applied for each database search as follows: (“Pleomorphic adenoma” OR “Mixed tumor” OR “Salivary gland tumor”) AND (“Children” OR “Child” OR “Adolescent” OR “Adolescence” OR “Pediatric” OR “Paediatric”) AND (“Diagnostic imaging” OR “Radiography” OR “Radiographic” OR “Three dimensional imaging”) AND [Minor].

Screening and Quality Assessment

Inclusion criteria were human-child studies, histologically confirmed PA, and reporting radiographic features. Exclusion criteria were editorials, commentaries, and literature reviews.

Three phases of screening were conducted by three examiners (AT, MS, and BS) independently. Discrepancies were resolved through discussion and consultation with independent examiner (NS).

Phase I: Screening the title and abstract for studies resulting from keyword search.

Phase II: Review of full-text of studies included from phase I.

Phase III: Review of full-text of studies manually searched from the reference list/bibliography of studies from phase II.

Studies that satisfied the inclusion criteria were assessed using a modified version of Murad et al.’s risk of bias assessment tool for case reports and case-series. The tool focuses on elements of selection method, exposure and outcome ascertainment, and sufficient detail. Studies scoring 4 were deemed “high quality-low risk of bias,” scoring 3 were “moderate quality and risk of bias,” a score of 2 or less were “poor quality-high risk of bias.”

Data Analysis

Primary data collected were age and gender, clinical (location, size, sign or symptoms and their duration), radiographic imaging modality, and radiographic features. Secondary data collected were management/therapy, recurrence, and duration of follow-up. The data were presented in frequency n (%) for qualitative data, and mean and standard deviation for quantitative data.

Results

The electronic database search resulted in 3,094 articles. Of these, 16 studies satisfied the inclusion criteria. The numbers of studies screened at each phase are provided in Flowchart 1. The initial examiners’ average agreement (kappa score) on quality assessment was 0.81 (range 0.71–0.86). The agreement reached 1.00 after discussion and consensus.

Of the 16, 10 scored high quality and six scored moderate, Table 1. Moderate scores were due to the lack of 3D images, not reporting the internal structure or suboptimal image quality to extract radiographic information.
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The demographic and clinical data collected are detailed in Table 1. The 16 studies consisted of 17 PA lesions, with 10 (59%) females and 7 (41%) males aged 9.7 ± 3.9 years (range 1.6–16). The largest dimension of PA was 2.6 ± 1 cm and the most common location was hard palate, 13 (76.5%), followed by 2 (11.7%) in the soft palate, 1 (5.9%) in the tongue, and 1 (5.9%) in the cheek. All lesions, except for one, were nontender and 12 (70.6%) were firm, 3 (17.6%) presented with surface ulceration and 1 (5.9%) with voice change. The duration of the reported clinical symptoms was 6.1 ± 14.6 months (range 0.1–60), except for one case where it was an incidental radiographic finding. All lesions were treated by surgical excision, with hemipalatlectomy in one case. Recurrence was documented in 14 cases; 1 (7.1%) recurrent case, and no recurrence in the remaining 13 (92.9%). The follow-up period was 2.4 ± 2.3 years (range 0.3–9).

The radiographic data collected are detailed in Table 2. Most lesions were well-defined, 15 (93.7%), with 1 showing rim enhancement on CT. On CT, 7 were similar in attenuation to muscle and 1 was less in attenuation. On MRI, three PA were reported as enhancement on CT. On CT, 7 were similar in attenuation to muscle and 1 was less in attenuation. On MRI, three PA were reported as enhancement on CT. On CT, 7 were similar in attenuation to muscle and 1 was less in attenuation. On MRI, three PA were reported as enhancement on CT. On CT, 7 were similar in attenuation to muscle and 1 was less in attenuation. On MRI, three PA were reported as enhancement on CT.

### Table 1: Clinical features, management, and recurrence of pleomorphic adenoma collected from the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (quality score, QS)</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Clinical symptoms</th>
<th>Duration of symptoms</th>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MacIsaac et al. 14 (QS high)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Hard palate</td>
<td>3 x 5</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Extirpation and aggressive cauterization</td>
<td>None in 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcuri et al. 23–25 (QS high)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R Hard palate</td>
<td>2 x 2</td>
<td>Fluctuant painless swelling</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>Intra-oral resection</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayles et al. 15 (QS high)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mid 1/3 tongue</td>
<td>3 x 2 x 1</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td>Wide local excision</td>
<td>None in 16 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moubayed et al. 16 (QS high)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Hard palate</td>
<td>2 x 2 x 2.3</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Hemipalatectomy with excision of deep bony margin</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford et al. 17 (QS moderate)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>L Hard palate</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>López-Cedrún et al. 24–26 (QS moderate)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L Hard palate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 3.5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Courten et al. 18 (QS high)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R Hard palate</td>
<td>2.3 x 2</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling + ulcer</td>
<td>5–6 weeks</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 9 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniels et al. 5 (QS high)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L Hard palate</td>
<td>2 x 1.5 (2.5 x 2.5 at surgery)</td>
<td>Fluctuant painless swelling *with time firrm</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>Surgical excision (2 years after initial visit)</td>
<td>None in 3.5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes et al. 19 (QS moderate)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L Hard palate</td>
<td>2 x 2</td>
<td>Fluctuant tender swelling</td>
<td>5 months</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noghreyan et al. 20 (QS moderate)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R Hard palate</td>
<td>2.5 x 3</td>
<td>Fluctuant painless swelling</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaaban et al. 25–27 (QS moderate)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L Hard palate</td>
<td>2 x 2</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling + ulcer</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>Yes in 2 years then, None in 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swain et al. 26–28 (QS high)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L Soft palate</td>
<td>3 x 3</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling + ulcer</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin and Crockett 21 (QS moderate)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R Hard palate</td>
<td>2 x 3</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alsufyani 22 (QS high)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Hard palate</td>
<td>1 x 1 x 0.6</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling</td>
<td>Incidental unaware</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Görürgöz et al. 23 (QS high)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cheek</td>
<td>1.5 x 1 x 0.4</td>
<td>Fluctuant painless swelling</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 14 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindeboom et al. 24 (QS high)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hard palate</td>
<td>2 x 2</td>
<td>Firm painless swelling</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Surgical excision</td>
<td>None in 12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2: Radiographic features of PA collected from the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>CT or CBCT</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Conventional or US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maclsaac et al.14</td>
<td>Well-defined, rim enhancement Internal: NR ESS: cortical expansion</td>
<td>Hypointense to fat in T1</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcuri et al.25</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: similar to muscle ESS: cortical displacement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Panoramic NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayles et al.15</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: hypo-attenuation to muscle ESS: soft tissue displacement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moubayed et al.16</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: similar to muscle ESS: bone scalloping</td>
<td>Contrast enhanced + calcification</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford and Guernsey17</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>PA, occlusal, extraoral head series: No bony defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>López-Cedrún et al.26</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: NR ESS: none</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Courten, et al.18</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: similar to muscle ESS: cortical displacement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniels et al.5</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: similar to muscle ESS: cortical displacement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Occlusal: No bony defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes et al.19</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Well-defined Isointense to fluid in T2 and T1 fat saturation ESS: none</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noghreyan et al.20</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: NR ESS: none</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaaban et al.27</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>ESS: none</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swain et al.28</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: similar to muscle ESS: None</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin and Crockett21</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Well-defined Hypointense to fat T1 ESS: None</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alsufyani22</td>
<td>Well-defined Internal: similar to soft tissue ESS: cortical displacement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Görgüöz et al.23</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Panoramic: WNL US: well-defined, low echogenicity, no bony involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindeboom et al.24</td>
<td>Well-defined Hypo-intense to fat T1 Isointense to fluid in T2 ESS: None</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR, not reported; ESS, effects on surrounding structures

**Discussion**

Greater proportion of PA in submandibular salivary glands and minor salivary glands has been reported in children and adolescents, compared to adults. It is uncommon for epithelial tumors to present in children under the age of 10 years.8,9 PA shows a higher female predilection in major and minor salivary glands in adults. This review showed 1:1.5 male to female ratio and 10 (58.8%)
of the children were ≤10 years old. The included articles represent case reports (rare in age or interesting clinical presentation), thus may explain the younger mean age.

Similar to adults, the most common site of PA in minor salivary glands was in the hard palate. 6-8,14-16,18-20,22-24,27 (13 out of 17, 76.5%). Minor salivary glands are also found in the upper or lower lips, parapharyngeal space, and buccal soft tissues. Ectopic minor salivary glands can be found in nasal, ethmoidal, and orbital regions, and PA have been reported in these sites. Other sites recorded by this review were the soft palate, 9,28 cheek, 38 and the tongue. 5

Pediatric PA in the minor salivary glands was 2.7 ± 1 cm which is smaller than PA in major salivary glands tend to reach a larger size; 4–6 cm. 6-8 This can be explained by the fact that major salivary glands are not easily palpated by the tactile tongue or visible during a clinical dental examination.

Minor salivary gland tumors tend to be fixed whereas in the parotid they tend to be larger and mobile. 6 Five 5,20,24,25 PA of the hard palate reported fluctuant center with one becoming firm with time. It appears that with hard palate lesions ≥2 × 2 cm in size, these seem to develop surface ulceration, Table 1. The duration of swelling varied significantly (from 4 days to 5 years) and thus not reliable. It is important to consider that young children may not be aware or alert to changes in their oral cavity. Although parents may be involved in their child’s dental hygiene routine, inspection of their oral cavity may not be a regular exercise but perhaps it should be.

Common imaging modalities used to assess PA are ultrasound US, CT, and MRI, with US being the least common due to its limited usefulness in preoperative diagnosis and planning. 6 Of the 17 PAs, 10 were imaged with CT, 1 cone beam CT, 6 with MRI, 5 with conventional radiographs, and 1 with US. Conventional radiographs failed to reveal bony defects associated with the PA. Occlusal radiographs may show bony erosion of the hard palate if the PA is of adequate size or optimum angulation in relation to the X-ray beam to capture the radiopaque cortex of the bony erosion/depression. As such, extraoral head series may prove futile. CT offers short scan time and high spatial resolution but utilizes ionizing radiation whereas MRI offers nonionizing radiation, superior soft tissue imaging, and longer imaging time. Since MRI is the preferred choice for postoperative imaging, preoperative MRI of major salivary glands is more common than minor salivary gland lesion and generally encouraged. 6 The best preoperative imaging should be based on risk-benefit weighing for each tumor site. 6

On CT/CBCT, PA lesions were well-defined with one showing rim enhancement and resemble soft tissue density (muscle). PA in the minor salivary glands tends to be smooth, with lobulated lesions more commonly occurring in the parotid gland. 6-8 Detectability of PA was higher on axial contrast-enhanced CT images. 29 The use of contrast in CT will enhance the PA lesion and may improve the visualization of the pseudo-capsule. 29 Based on CT appearance, the differential diagnosis may include soft tissue tumors such as fibroma, lipoma, neurofibroma, and neurilemmoma.

On MRI, PA has variable intensities, moderately and heterogeneously enhancing. 6,30 This review revealed six cases that utilized MRI, but not all studies fully described the signal intensities. PA was hypo-intense to fat in T1 14,21,24 and iso-intense to fluid in T2 and T1-fat saturated images, 19 and one reported high enhancement and calcifications. 18 Tumor detectability is best (88%) on axial T2-weighted MRI compared to T1 and CE-T1 weighted images (86 and 85%, respectively), and capsule detection is best on T2 over CE T1 weighted images (90 vs 57%). 29 PA can manifest focal calcifications due to tissue degeneration and these are usually minute. 6,29,31

Seven (46.7%) PAs of the hard palate showed evidence of cortical erosion or displacement, and the one PA of the tongue displaced the immediate surrounding soft tissues. Studies that reported no effects on surrounding structures were based on conventional images (n = 1), MRI (n = 3), and CT (n = 3). PA may depict more growth toward the oral cavity than the nasal cavity resulting in a larger clinically visible swelling and lack of bony erosion. However, the bony effect may not be detectable by the chosen imaging modality. Bone resorption of the hard palate was seldom detected on MRI and is best detected on axial-CT or confirmed at the time of surgery. 29

The optimal treatment for PAs is wide surgical excision with negative margins. 6

The pseudo-capsule with tissue nodules within and surrounding the tumor may rupture during the surgical excision, and recurrence may develop. All lesions included in this review were treated by surgical excision, with hemi-palatectomy in one case. 16 The authors describe wide surgical resection of the palatal bone without justification for the chosen treatment. 16 It is assumed that the “rapid progressive nature,” i.e., 2 weeks duration, was the reason.

The chances of recurrence in children are higher due to the smaller anatomy and tendency toward conservative surgery. 7 PA recurrence is higher in parotid glands due to location and difficulty in the operative approach, compared to minor salivary glands. 6 Moreover, longer life expectancy in the pediatric population contributes to higher recurrence rate and risk of malignant transformation. 6,7 The rate of carcinoma in recurrent PAs is reported between 1.5 and 23%. 6,8

Recurrence was documented in 14 cases and only 1 (7.1%) presented with recurrence after 2 years of follow-up. However, the mean follow-up period was 2.6 ± 2.4 years (range 0.3–9) and there are documented recurrences up to 30 years after initial resection. 8 So, it is possible that with longer follow-ups these rates would change.

The main shortcoming of this systematic review is due to the nature of included studies: limited to English language, case reports, variability in radiographic images selected, and lack of uniformity in radiographic description. Including detailed radiographic features in future studies of pediatric salivary gland pathologies, with large sample sizes, and long follow-up durations would allow for robust systematic reviews.

**Conclusion**

This review revealed that pediatric PA of the minor salivary glands showed female predilection, mostly as an asymptomatic, firm, nonmobile swelling in the hard palate. MRI is the optimum imaging modality showing low-signal in T1 and high in T2, with possible enhancement and internal calcification. Imaging with CT was key to highlight erosive effects on the adjacent bone structures which presented in half of the cases. The follow-up duration was not sufficient to reflect a meaningful recurrence rate.

**Clinical Significance**

The dentist can be the first to detect PA in the mouth of a child. Augmenting clinical examination with radiographic examination is paramount to ensure adequate diagnosis of PA, examine effects on surrounding bone, and maintain close follow-up as watchful waiting is not safe in this population.
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