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ABSTRACT

Aim and objective: To compare the marginal fit of Ni–Cr copings made by casting in two different states (dry and wet) with either cellulose ring liners or no ring liners.

Materials and methods: An in vitro study was conducted on 40 patterns which were invested, and a burnout casting procedure was used, using a Ni–Cr alloy to obtain the cast copings. The cast copings were divested, cleaned, and run through a finishing procedure. The copings were seated on the stainless steel die and microscopically evaluated for marginal discrepancies at two predetermined reference points using an optical microscope (Rieichert, Austria). Statistical analysis was done using Pearson’s correlation coefficient and Chi-square test, keeping 95% confidence intervals and having a p value of less than 0.05 statistically significant.

Results: Our results identified marginal discrepancies in all the Ni–Cr cast copings, which significantly differed from each other. The copings obtained from casting with a cellulose ring liner in a wet state showed a significantly higher value followed by casting using a cellulose ring liner in a dry state. The mean marginal discrepancy values were within the clinically acceptable range for all the Ni–Cr cast copings included in two groups.

Conclusion: Our study concludes that the copings cast with a cellulose ring liner in dry states had the least amount of vertical marginal discrepancies as compared to those that were cast with a ring liner in two different forms or those cast without a ring liner, suggesting that the use of cellulose ring liners in a dry state is favorable for all casting procedures.

Clinical significance: Measuring gaps or discrepancies at margins is the commonly used method to determine the fit of Ni–Cr copings. In order to minimize marginal inaccuracies, various authors have suggested different methods to improve the marginal adaptation of cast restorations. There are compensation methods like setting expansion, hygroscopic expansion, and thermal expansion of the investment, which are used to assess metal shrinkage during cooling.

Keywords: Casting, Cellulose liners, Marginal fit, Ni–Cr cast copings.

The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice (2021): 10.5005/jp-journals-10024-3180

INTRODUCTION

Casting is a process that converts the wax pattern of a dental restoration into a replicate made of a dental alloy using centrifugal force and pressure or pressure and a vacuum with a mold cavity. The casting process is used to produce dental restorations such as inlays, onlays, crowns, bridges, and removable partial dentures. Because these castings must meet stringent dimensional requirements, the casting process is extremely demanding. In dentistry, virtually all castings are done using some form of adaptation of the lost-wax technique. The lost-wax technique has been used for centuries but was not common in dentistry until 1907 when WH Taggart introduced his technique with a casting machine.

WH Taggart first described the lost-wax casting process in the context of dentistry and observed that the resulting restorations were undersized. The accuracy of the fit of a cast restoration is essential to its longevity because it reduces the amount of plaque accumulation in the marginal area, provides better mechanical properties (stability, resistance), uses less cement space (reduced likelihood of marginal leakage), and improves the aesthetic result.

Precise marginal adaptation is necessary to improve mechanical, biological, and aesthetic prognoses. The marginal fit of the castings is largely dependent on careful tooth preparation, accurate impressions, and precision castings paired with careful finishing and cementation procedures.

The marginal fit of cast restorations is one of the most researched subjects in fixed prosthodontics. Despite close attention to clinical and laboratory procedures, marginal discrepancies of cast restorations are inevitable. Previous reports show that the clinically acceptable marginal discrepancies for cast restorations range from 10 to 160 μm. However, there is a general consensus...
Among authors that marginal discrepancies that exceed 100 μm constitute an unacceptable marginal opening. A number of factors can be detrimental to the marginal adaptation of cast restorations, including clinical and technical errors by dentists or dental technicians concerning the geometry of the tooth preparation, the type of finish line, and the degree of the taper, and incompatibilities between dental materials, such as impression materials, waxes, die stones, and casting investments.

Previously, asbestos liners were used to compensate for this expansion. However, while these liners were in use for many years, they have since been abandoned due to their association with carcinogenesis and were replaced with paper ceramic liners. Cellular asbestos liners were previously the preferred material but no longer used due to their carcinogenic potential—asbestosis/mesothelioma. Aluminosilicate ceramic liners are fibrous ceramic stone die materials. They increase the degree of normal setting expansion in the investment and also the amount of water absorption from the investment during the setting, which leads to semi-hydroscopic expansion.

Several previous studies compared the previously described casting techniques for fixed restorations. Keyf and Erman evaluated marginal discrepancies using three different casting ring liners (no liners, wet liners, and dry liners). They used cellulose liners as the wet liner and ceramic liners as the dry liner.

Cellulose liners can be used dry or prewetted. In the past, cellulose ring liners were not used in both a dry and prewetted state within the same study. Therefore, we aimed to measure the marginal gaps and to determine the clinical acceptability of single-unit castings in phosphate-bonded investments that were cast with either dry cellulose ring liners, wet cellulose ring liners, or no casting ring liners. This study aimed to assess, compare, and determine the vertical marginal accuracy of lost-wax castings with dry cellulose ring liners, wet cellulose ring liners, and no casting ring liners. The primary objectives of this study were to compare casting techniques using different casting ring liners and determine which of the liners produce single full coverage restorations with a superior marginal fit and to determine if the casting ring liners that are used during the casting technique can be routinely used to fabricate cast restorations using the metal ring casting technique. The secondary objective was to provide dentists, patients, and dental laboratory technicians with time-saving, cost-effective and accurate techniques to fabricate clinically acceptable single-unit full coverage restorations.

**Materials and Methods**

This is an *in vitro* study conducted after obtaining relevant permissions from the Institutional Review Board in Pune. The study was performed following the guidelines of *in vitro* studies: Checklist for Reporting *in vitro* Study (CRIS) guidelines.

**Study Armamentarium and Materials**

The study armamentarium used was metal die, counter die, casting ring, crucible, digital electronic thermometer, vacuum mixing machine, wax burnout furnace, induction casting machine, sandblasting machine, and digital optical microscope. The materials used were acrylic tray material, Exaflex polyvinyl siloxane impression material, regular, ultra-rock type IV gypsum, die hardener, crown and bridge modeling wax, Sprue wax, debubblizer, casting ring liner, phosphate-bonded investment material, Ni–Cr dental casting alloy.

**Methodology**

A steel die fabrication was done using a stainless steel die assembly (Fig. 1). It was used to fabricate the standardized wax patterns. The master die simulated a crown preparation with a 6° total axial wall taper. The axial height of the die and its occlusal diameter was 6 mm and the finish line was a 90° shoulder, 1 mm in width. Occlusal crosshairs were placed for precise wax pattern repositioning. The die could be accurately positioned in a stainless steel former (counter die) that had an opening in the center 1 mm larger than the die in all dimensions. This was followed by a stone die fabrication, wherein the stainless steel die was duplicated in a low-expansion die stone (Fig. 2) using an acrylic custom tray with a 1.5 mm wax spacer and polyvinyl siloxane impression material. The stone die was accurately positioned and secured into the stainless steel former. Further the wax pattern fabrication was performed. Crown and bridge modeling wax was placed in the stainless steel
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Statistical Analysis

Data collected were entered in Microsoft Excel (2013), and statistical analysis was carried out by the usage of statistical product and service solutions (v.21.0). Descriptive analysis was performed to determine the mean marginal discrepancy values in all the groups keeping 95% confidence intervals and having a $p$-value statistically significant of less than 0.05.

RESULTS

In this study, a total of 40 stone dies and wax patterns were fabricated, which were divided into different groups based on their states (wet or dry) and based on the presence or absence of the liners. The mean marginal discrepancy was assessed in all the groups.

Our study results showed marginal discrepancy in all 30 Ni–Cr copings castings that used dry, wet, or no cellulose ring liners. The marginal discrepancy of the 10 cast copings that were made casting with dry cellulose ring liners showed a mean value of 15.43 μm. The marginal discrepancy of the 10 cast copings that were made casting without using any ring liners showed a mean value of 30.81 μm. The marginal discrepancy of the
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Group A Wax pattern</th>
<th>Group B No ring liner</th>
<th>Group C Dry ring liner</th>
<th>Group D Wet ring liner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15°</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45°</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Mean vertical marginal discrepancies in different groups in the study samples

10 cast copings obtained from casting using cellulose ring liner in a wet state showed a mean value of 35.74 μm (Table 2).

The order of discrepancy values of marginal gap of the cast copings in the study is as follows:

- Minimum marginal gap—casting using cellulose ring liner in dry state 28.34 μm.
- Maximum marginal gap—casting using cellulose ring liner in wet state 35.74 μm.

The castings made using dry cellulose ring liners showed minimal marginal discrepancies compared with castings obtained from using wet cellulose ring liners or no ring liners.

**Discussion**

Our *in vitro* study assessed the varied marginal discrepancies that can occur with or without the use of cellulose ring liners in castings that would affect and influence the final fit of the restorations. The marginal integrity and fit of the crown margin are important for the long-term success of a cast restoration.11 The marginal fit is related to the clinical viability and longevity of the cast restoration. These factors are clinically relevant to periodontal health and the development of recurrent marginal caries.12 A well-fit cast alloy crown has sufficient axial tolerance to allow proper seating and its margin is congruent with the cavosurface line angle of the tooth preparation, as judged by visual and tactile examinations. The marginal fit of the castings relies on careful tooth preparation, accurate impressions, and precision casting.

Misfit castings can be classified by taking measurements at various points between the casting surface and the tooth.13 These measurements are taken at different locations that are geometrically related to each other and defined as the internal gap, marginal gap, vertical marginal discrepancy, horizontal marginal discrepancy, overextended margin, underextended margin, absolute marginal discrepancy, and the seating discrepancy.14

Several studies15,16 were conducted to evaluate the marginal defects of castings. Bjorn et al.17 discovered that 83% of gold and 74% of porcelain crown margins had approximate defects that were greater than 0.2 mm. Schwartz18 showed that defective margins were a frequent cause (11.3%) of unserviceable crowns and fixed partial dentures. It was reported by Davis DR19 that 30–40% of crown margins were inadequate, often due to poor marginal integrity. Recent studies5,20 continue to find high rates of marginal deficiencies and show that defective margins are responsible for over 10% of all failed units.

In our study, all of the aforementioned factors were considered during the fabrication and investing of the wax patterns to minimize the effects of wax distortion on the marginal discrepancies of the castings. Forty wax patterns were fabricated with an accurate steel counter die, which was placed on a die stone replica of the master steel die. The counter die was 1 mm larger than the master die, which provided a uniform space to pour the low-softening-temperature wax into to ensure that the wax patterns were uniform. The wax patterns were then uniformly distributed into four groups (10 per group) and cast using the previously described methodology. To determine the practicality of the previously described procedures, we designed a study to compare the marginal discrepancies associated with wet, dry, and absent cellulose ring liners using the metal ring casting technique and a conventional wax elimination procedure for 30 castings.

Davis5 described that wet asbestos liners provided additional water to the setting investment, which led to increased setting expansion while allowing for sufficient radial expansion by compressing the ring liner. This led to increased marginal gaps and thus altered the fittings. Similar results were noted in our study, wherein the wet ring liners demonstrated more discrepancies as compared to no liners/dry ring liners. Another study20 described the frequent use of asbestos liners in investment metal casting for dental laboratory work that was associated with risks asbestos to the human body have led to the development and marketing of castings liners without asbestos by many manufacturers. These are the so-called non-asbestos liners.

Earnshaw20 showed that cellulose paper (used wet) and ceramic paper (used dry) are replacing asbestos paper as the cushioning ring liner in dental castings. Wet cellulose liners have an effect that is similar to that of traditional wet asbestos liners. Dry ceramic liners are associated with a much lower investment expansion, which could be an advantage for investments that have an increased measured expansion. These results are concurrent with the results obtained in our study.

Another study conducted by Keyf and Erman21 noted that the carcinogenic potential of asbestos has led to the development of casting ring liners made from non-asbestos materials, such as...
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cellulose paper, to replace asbestos paper. They reported that dry liners are easy to control and may improve the fit of the cast to the die, but is generally accepted that any of these materials can produce consistently acceptable castings when paired with the appropriate techniques.

In the present study, 30 castings were fabricated using a base metal alloy (Heraeniun S, Ni–Cr dental casting alloy, Heraus Kulzer, Germany) that consisted of nickel 62.9%, chromium 23.0%, molybdenum 10.0%, silica 2.0%, iron 1.5%, and cerium <1%. Base metal alloys, including cobalt–chromium (Co–Cr) and nickel–chromium (Ni–Cr), have been widely used in dentistry to fabricate fixed and removable partial denture frameworks since being introduced in 1929. These alloys have increasingly replaced gold and other precious alloys due to their superior mechanical properties and reduced cost. The primary physical and chemical properties of these base metal alloys include a lower density than gold alloys, which is a particularly useful feature for fabricating less bulky prostheses, and a modulus of elasticity that is nearly twice that of gold alloys, which is useful for fixed and removable partial dentures as it has the advantage of maintaining rigidity with less bulk. These properties allow for improved esthetics and physiological contouring and can be used to develop a suitable occlusion with less tooth structure reduction. More recently, improvements in alloy compositions and the development of new manufacturing techniques have optimized the use of these alloys, which made them suitable for the present study.

A literature review demonstrated the controversy over defining a marginal fit value that is clinically acceptable for cast restorations. Some authors reported that 30 μm is a clinically acceptable marginal gap. However, Schwartz defined a clinically acceptable marginal misfit that was between 10 and 160 μm. Leong et al. considered 120 μm to be acceptable, while Samet et al. and Contreras et al. agreed that a value below 100 μm was the most appropriate value for adequate restoration longevity. Another study reviewed expert opinions on acceptable margin openings and reported a range between 32 and 230 μm with disagreements not only between subjects but also within subjects.

In this study, the appropriate and adequate measures were used to control the technicality in the die stone fabrication and to minimize errors while casting procedure. All these contribute to the strengths of our study. But, in our study, the marginal discrepancies were measured at two predetermined points (0 and 180°). Increasing the number of reference points to measure the marginal gaps for each coping could provide more conclusive results. Despite these limitations, this in vitro study suggests that the marginal fit of cast copings made with two different methods of pattern forming was within the range of clinically acceptable values for adequate longevity of restorations. The results from this study should be extrapolated and expanded in further studies by evaluating different pattern-forming materials and techniques and identifying factors that could improve the marginal fit of cast restorations. Future studies of cast restorations in intraoral conditions and the specific factors that affect them are also needed.

**Conclusion**

In this in vitro study, the cast restorations made from casts with dry cellulose ring liners showed minimal vertical marginal discrepancies when compared with cast restorations obtained from casts with wet cellulose ring liners or no ring liners. Future studies on casting with different casting ring liners and different ring liner states should be carried out using a range of parameters to confirm our findings and to improve the estimates of marginal discrepancies.
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