# Influence of Arabic Qahwa Beverage on Optical and Mechanical Properties of Lithium Disilicate Glass Ceramics and Zirconia Restorative Materials

Nasser M Alahmari

# ABSTRACT

Aims: The study aims to assess the effect of Arabic Qahwa (AQ) on the color parameters of lithium disilicate glass ceramic (LDGC), IPS e.max computer-aided design (CAD), and multilayered zirconia CAD/ computer-aided manufacturing (CAM) ceramic materials after immersion in AQ and also, to measure the biaxial fracture strength and fracture modes of the tested materials.

**Materials and methods:** Sixty circular specimens were milled from LDGC and zirconia. Before AQ immersion, the color parameters of the specimens L, a, and b were measured and recorded using a spectrophotometer on white, black, and gray background and analyzed after AQ staining and aging for 14 days. Biaxial compressive forces and fracture types were recorded. The collected data were analyzed with SPSS for descriptive statistics, one-way analysis of variance, and *post hoc* tests.

**Results:** The overall TP values were 16.79 and 15.85 for LDGC and zirconia, respectively. The recorded  $\Delta E^*$  values were 2.63 and 2.99 for LDGC and zirconia, which have no remarkable difference. The TP values after AQ staining were slightly lesser. Subgroup analysis revealed considerable differences in TP values among zirconia specimens under both backgrounds, whereas substantial differences in  $\Delta E^*$  values were observed between LDGC and zirconia under white background only. Lithium disilicate glass ceramic (LDGC) had higher biaxial fracture forces than zirconia. Reparable, semi-reparable, and non-reparable fractures comprised 60, 30, and 10% of the overall fracture modes, respectively, with significant differences between and within groups (p = 0.034).

**Conclusion:** AQ staining had a marked effect on the TP and  $\Delta E^*$  values of the tested CAD/CAM materials, but the values were within clinically acceptable levels. The optical properties were dependent on the material. Lithium disilicate glass ceramic (LDGC) had higher biaxial fracture forces than zirconia. Reparable fracture was the dominant type among the examined materials.

**Clinical significance:** Glazed surface for any CAD/CAM ceramic prostheses is highly recommended. Both tested materials are strongly recommended to be used for AQ consumers.

Keywords: Arabic Qahwa, Biaxial fracture, Color changes, Color measurements, Lithium disilicate, Translucency parameter, Zirconia. *The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice* (2022): 10.5005/jp-journals-10024-3244

### INTRODUCTION

Remarkable progress in dental prosthetic materials and knowledge, such as computer-aided design (CAD)/computer-aided manufacturing (CAM), has made dental restoration esthetic, inexpensive, efficient, and predictable.<sup>1</sup> Those systems are increasingly used in dentistry, they allow a completely digital workflow from impression to the final framework, and the materials used show excellent mechanical properties and biocompatibility,<sup>1,2</sup> as well as respectable precision.<sup>3</sup> Prosthetic materials are predesigned as a block to be milled into prostheses. These ceramic blocks are pre-sintered. After they are milled, the blocks are fully centered at the standardized pressure and temperature to achieve the desired mechanical, optical, and biological properties.<sup>4,5</sup>

Lithium disilicate glass ceramic (LDGC) prosthetic materials dominate the arena of dentistry because of their ability to reproduce natural teeth with long-term survival rate and success. Lithium disilicate glass ceramic (LDGC) is frequently promoted as IPS e.max CAD and is composed of a crystalline phase (70%) and a glassy matrix. Coloring ions are dispersed in the matrix and determine the color of the material after crystallization. They have three different translucency levels: high, medium, and low translucency. Different translucency levels are obtained by varying the crystal size, in which increasing the size of the crystals causes an increase in Prosthetic Department, College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

**Corresponding Author:** Nasser M Alahmari, Prosthetic Department, College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia, e-mail: nmr.dnt@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Alahmari NM. Influence of Arabic Qahwa Beverage on Optical and Mechanical Properties of Lithium Disilicate Glass Ceramics and Zirconia Restorative Materials. J Contemp Dent Pract 2022;23(2):154–161.

Source of support: Nil Conflict of interest: None

translucency.<sup>6–8</sup> Cubic zirconia is considered a potential competitor and has very similar indications as lithium disilicate. A single zirconia crystal contains three phases (tetragonal, monoclinic, and cubic) and is stabilized using metal oxides in the desired phase.<sup>9–12</sup> According to its microstructural content, zirconia could be classified into fully stabilized, partially stabilized, and tetragonal zirconia polycrystals,<sup>12</sup> which have different coloring techniques.

The optical properties of porcelains take the spotlight when topics are considered for research. A  $\Delta E^*$  value of less than 3.7 is imperceivable in the oral atmosphere.<sup>13,14</sup> Douglas et al.<sup>15</sup> stated that  $\Delta E^*$  values below 3 are considered clinically

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s). 2022 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

imperceptible,  $\Delta E^*$  values between 3 and 5 are considered clinically acceptable,  $\Delta E^*$  values above 5 are not acceptable, and restorations with color change should be remade. According to the Internal Commission on Illumination (CIL), the color coordinates are *L*, *a*, and *b* (*L* stands for the degree of lightness, *a* is the degree of redness/greenness, and *b* is the degree of yellowness/blueness).<sup>16</sup> Color coordinates are obtained and substituted into equations to calculate  $\Delta E^*$ .<sup>17</sup> The translucency of dental ceramic is the amount of light passing through a material. Translucency has a close relationship with the microstructure; chemical nature; number of crystals; the size of particles and pores; sintered density; and the amounts of absorbed, reflected, and transmitted light.<sup>18–21</sup>

Coffee is the most popular consumed beverage worldwide. Among the Saudi population, a special type of coffee called "Arabic Qahwa (AQ)" is consumed. Arabic Qahwa (AQ) contains additives, such as saffron, ginger, and cardamom. However, AQ causes discoloration because of its additive constituents, which results in the staining of esthetic prostheses during intraoral survival.<sup>13,22</sup> Recent studies evaluated materials for esthetic restorations. However, few focused on the recent advances of CAD/ CAM materials for esthetic restorations among AQ consumers. This laboratory work aimed to assess the effect of AQ on the color parameters, such as translucency parameter (TP) and mean color change ( $\Delta E^*$ ), of LDGC (IPS e.max CAD) and multilayer zirconia (Ceramill Zolid PS) CAD/CAM ceramic materials after immersion in AQ for 14 days and to measure the biaxial fracture strength and the type of fracture modes of the tested materials. The null hypotheses are as follows: (1) changes in TP and  $\Delta E^*$  values before and after AQ staining and artificial aging have no significant differences, and (2) the values of biaxial fracture forces or the fracture type of the tested CAD/CAM restorative materials have no significant differences.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Study Design and Sample Size

This study was conducted in King Khalid University, College of Dentistry, Abha, Saudi Arabia in May 2021. The effects of AQ on the optical properties, biaxial fracture forces, and fractures modes of CAD/CAM ceramic materials were tested.

#### Specimen Fabrication and Surface Treatments

Sixty circular specimens were manufactured using the CAD/ CAM system from LDGC (IPS e.max CAD) and multilayer zirconia (Ceramill Zolid PS) CAD/CAM ceramic block materials (Vita Zahnfabrik), with 30 samples from each material. The disks had 12 mm diameter and 2.0  $\pm$  0.2 mm thickness. The thicknesses of the specimens were measured using a digital caliper. For the LDGC group, a glaze layer (IPS e.max Ceram Glaze paste, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan AG, Liechtenstein) was applied to all specimens on the experimental side before the final heat treatment in the furnace for complete crystallization. For the zirconia group, the specimens were sintered and glazed in a furnace for 2 hours at 1550°C. Each group was divided into three subgroups according to background surfaces (white, black, gray) with 10 samples for each subgroup.

#### Translucency and Mean Color Change Measurements

After specimens in the six subgroups were numbered, a spectrophotometer device with a 6-mm-diameter tip (VITA Easyshade Advance Compact, Vita Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany) was standard before individual assessment. International

commission on Illumination (CIE)  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  values were documented for each sample using the device.

For TP color assessments, each specimen color parameters measurements were recorded at three different points on white and black backgrounds, and then the average values were recorded of each tested material. A square window opening ( $2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2$ ) was used and fixed on the two backgrounds (white and black) to ensure that the specimen was in the same area during TP reading. Translucency parameter (TP) measurements were obtained by calculating the color difference in the specimen over white and black backgrounds using the formula: TP =  $[(L_W - L_B)^2 + (a_B - a_W)^2 + (b_B - b_W)^2]^{1/2}$ , where subscripts W and B refer to the color coordinates over white and black backgrounds, respectively. A TP of 0 corresponds to full opacity, whereas a high TP indicates high translucency.<sup>20,23,24</sup>

For mean color changes ( $\Delta E^*$ ), all samples were measured thrice under gray background to obtain their CIE *L*, *a*, and *b* values and the average value was considered.<sup>13,14</sup> The *L*1, *a*1, and *b*1 values of all the samples under gray backgrounds were recorded as the average color changes before AQ immersion.

#### Arabic Qahwa Staining and Aging

The samples were immersed for 14 days in AQ (Baja Food Industrial Co., Jeddah, Saudi Arabia). The AQ used in this study is commercially available and came in a nitrogen-flushed package for single use. The staining AQ solution prepared from each packet (30 gm) was mixed with 0.5 L of boiled water (100°C) and kept boiling for 15 seconds according to the manufacturer's instructions (0.6 gm for each 1 mL). Two packets with 30 gm each were used for all samples every day, the AQ was changed every 12 hours.<sup>13</sup>

The color parameters measured after AQ staining and aging are denoted as *L*2, *a*2, and *b*2. These parameters were assessed under the matching background, location, operator, and the differences among second and first values were considered as the mean values. The values were used in the calculation for color parameter measurements.  $\Delta E^*$  values were calculated using the equation:  $\Delta E^* = [(L1^* - L2^*)^2 + (a1^* - a2^*)^2 + (b1^* - b2^*)^2]^2/2.$ 

#### Surface Roughness Measurements

Surface roughness test was performed after recording the TP and  $\Delta E^*$  values. A simulation was performed by 3D non-contact surface metrology and interferometry (Bruker Contour GTK, Bruker Nano Surfaces Division, Tucson, Arizona, USA) in the material laboratory of King Saud University. Specimens were measured by vertical scan interferometry using a  $5 \times$  Michelson magnification lens with a field of view of  $1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^2$ , a Gaussian regression filter, a scan speed of 1×, and a threshold of 4. Specimens were secured on the profilometer machine and adjusted manually to record the replica on the monitor screen. The microscope has Vision 64 (Bruker) software, which controls the device location, performs data analyses, and produces a graphical output. Four specimens were selected from each subgroup and then scanned at two supposed points. The measurements were averaged accordingly to determine the average surface roughness (Ra) in micrometers. Each sample was scanned three times, and the results were averaged accordingly to determine the Ra value. Ra measurements were performed following the ISO 11562 recommendations for standardization.<sup>25,26</sup>

#### **Biaxial Compressive Force Test**

Biaxial compressive force test is a common in vitro test used to estimate the strength of dental prosthetic CAD/CAM materials. The piston-on-three-ball test was used to measure biaxial flexural

strength. Disk specimens were centered and supported on three symmetrically spaced steel balls (3.4 mm diameter). The diameters of the piston tip and the support circle were 1.2 and 12.0 mm, separately (Fig. 1). The forces were fixated to the midpoint of the specimen via a flat tip with 1.4 mm radius of the piston and at a crossheading of 1.0 mm/minute as proposed in ISO 6872 and applied in the air at room temperature by means of a universal mechanical testing machine. A 50 µm thickness thin plastic film was fixed on the outer or exposed surfaces of the specimens for the piston to dispense the forces homogeneously. Fracture load forces were documented in Newtons. The mean of the biaxial forces of each ceramic type was calculated, and the results between the tested materials and different backgrounds were compared.<sup>27,28</sup>



Fig. 1: Specimen setup during biaxial forces strength application

# Fracture Type Recording

The fracture mode was categorized according to the principles presented in Table 1, and each sample was determined based on the number of pieces as follows: type I, the sample is broken in two halves (reparable fracture); type II, the samples is broken into 3–4 pieces (less or semi-reparable fracture); type III, the sample fractures into more than four pieces (non-reparable fracture). Flowchart 1 showed the steps and type of the material groups and subgroups as well as the tests used.

## **Statistical Analysis**

Power analysis calculation was performed to determine the adequate sample size to obtain a statistically significant outcome. The result showed that 30 samples per group (10 samples for each subgroup) are required at a 95% confidence level, a power of 80%, and a SD of 0.4. The IBM SPSS 20.0 package program for descriptive statistics was used to calculate the mean  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  values of the LDGC and zirconia materials in black, white, and gray backgrounds before and after AQ staining and aging. The values were presented as the means of the color data and standard deviation (SD). For repetitive measurements, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare the cases exposed

Table 1: Fracture classifications and criteria<sup>19</sup>

Definitions
A fracture passing at the middle and resulting in 2 pieces with equal sizes
A fracture resulting in 3–4 pieces
A fracture resulting in more than 4 pieces

Flowchart 1: Flowchart representation of groups and subgroups distribution for the various tests conducted in the study





to aging in terms of TP ( $\Delta L$ ,  $\Delta a$ , and  $\Delta b$ ) and  $\Delta E^*$ . Post hoc test was used to compare the significance between and within groups and subgroups. The p < 0.05 indicated statistical significance. Moreover, biaxial fracture forces and fracture modes after AQ staining and aging were determined.

# Results

No specimens were misplaced or lost during the color parameter measurements, the AQ staining and aging workflow, and the biaxial fracture force and failure type determination. The mean color parameter values of the tested CAD/CAM ceramic materials before and after AQ staining are presented in Table 2. The  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  values of the tested CAD/CAM materials slightly increased after AQ staining for 14 days. In terms of TP, the L value increased in LDGC and decreased in zirconia after AQ immersion, whereas a and b values decreased in both materials. Nonetheless, the  $\Delta E^*$  values under gray background were almost the same.

The mean and SD of TP values of the tested ceramic materials were calculated. The results showed a significant difference in the TP values among zirconia samples under different backgrounds ( $p \ge 0.043$ ), whereas no significant differences were observed in the TP values of LDGC samples in different backgrounds. The mean and SD of the  $\Delta E^*$  values of LDGC and zirconia groups under gray background recorded a value of p = 0.064, 0.043 (Table 3). Post hoc test was used to confirm and investigate the results between

the subgroups of the tested materials. In Table 3, there were no significant differences in relation to mean color changes  $\Delta E^*$  so it does not go for further assessments (*Post hoc*). Table 4 illustrates the significant differences between subgroups of LDGC and zirconia with different backgrounds. The Ra values and surfaces characteristics of LDGC (3.31 µm) were equal with similar profile in comparison with zirconia (3.33 µm) based on the 3D images of Ra graphical output after AQ immersion for 14 days (Figs 2A to D).

The mean and SD values of the biaxial compressive strengths of the tested ceramic materials are presented in Table 5. Significant differences were recorded between the two ceramic materials and two backgrounds with  $p \ge 0.001$ . The post hoc test results recorded a significant difference between the zirconia samples under different  $backgrounds, but not in LDGC \, samples \, under \, different \, backgrounds.$ So, no further assessments were not conducted in relation to biaxial forces. The percentages of different fracture types after biaxial force application are shown in Figure 3. Among LDGC samples, the dominant fracture type under white and black backgrounds was reparable fracture, in which the specimens were divided into two equal sizes (type I). Types I and II fracture types had similar percentages in zirconia samples, and each background showed three samples of type III fracture. Reparable, semi-reparable, and non-reparable fractures comprised 60, 30, and 10% of the overall fracture mode, respectively, and had significant differences with p = 0.034. The results of two- and three-way repeated-measures

Table 2: L\*, a\*, and b\* values of LDGC and zirconia before and after AQ staining and aging over black, white, and gray backgrounds

Ceramic type	Color parameters under the white background							
_	L (baseline)	L (14 days)	a (baseline)	a (14 days)	b (baseline)	b (14 days)		
LDGC	76.18	76.26	2.34	2.06	18.62	17.04		
		Color parameters under black background						
	77.24	77.80	2.86	2.68	17.60	16.04		
Zirconia –	Color parameters under white background							
	75.14	74.28	2.68	2.02	16.88	16.42		
	Color parameters under black background							
	76.44	75.94	2.56	2.04	17.24	16.86		
	Color parameters under gray background							
LDGC	76.53	76.71	2.31	2.46	16.54	17.11		
Zirconia	75.79	75.11	2.62	2.03	17.06	17.14		

<b>Table 3:</b> Descriptive statistics and ANOVA of the optical properties (TP and $\Delta E^2$ ) values of LDGC and zirconia before and after A	Q immersion
and aging	

Ceramic type	TP mean and SD before AQ immersion	TP mean and SD after AQ immersion	Overall TP	p value
LDGC (White)	16.67 (0.94)	16.01 (1.11)	16.79 (0.96)	0.064
LDGC (Black)	17.37 (1.16)	16.70 (0.62)		
Zirconia (White)	16.01 (1.09)	15.53 (1.09)	15.85 (0.940	0.043*
Zirconia (Black)	16.41 (1.11)	15.49 (0.79)		
Total	16.62 (1.20)	15.93 (1.01)		
	Mean and SD of color change before AQ	Mean and SD of color change after AQ		
Ceramic type	immersion	immersion	$Overall \Delta E^*$	p value
LDGC	2.38 (0.17)	2.76 (0.35)	2.63 (0.28)	0.056
	2.55 (0.21)	3.11 (0.40)		
Zirconia	2.69 (0.53)	3.16 (0.36)	2.99 (0.45)	0.058
	2.75 (0.56)	3.25 (0.34)		
Total	2.59 (0.19)	3.07 (0.40)		

p > 0.050 is significant

ANOVA (Table 6) presented significant differences between all variables except the interaction of TP with other variables, such as ceramic type, and  $\Delta E^*$  with  $p \ge 0.001$ .

# DISCUSSION

CAD/CAM restorations contribute some degree of color instability to the oral cavity, which might be related to the quality of drinking beverage. The current laboratory works were designed to calculate the outcome of AQ immersion for 14 days on the optical properties (i.e., TP and  $\Delta E^*$ ) of LDGC and zirconia CAD/CAM ceramic materials. Similarly, the biaxial fracture strengths and fracture modes of the tested materials were evaluated. Spearman's test was performed to categorize the relationship of the tested CAD/CAM prosthetic materials. The results of Spearman's tests (r = 0.63, 0.54) demonstrated moderate positive correlation coefficients. The overall TP values were 16.79 and 15.85 for LDGC and zirconia,

#### Table 4: Post hoc tests for the tested subgroups

	ТР					
	LDGC	LDGC	Zirconia	Zirconia		
Ceramic type	(White)	(Black)	(White)	(Black)		
LDGC (White)	_	0.212	0.056	0.050*		
LDGC (Black)	0.212	—	0.003*	0.002*		
Zirconia (White)	0.056	0.003*	—	0.959		
Zirconia (Black)	0.050*	0.002*	0.959	—		
		$\Delta E^*$				
	LDGC	LDGC	Zirconia	Zirconia		
Ceramic type	(White)	(Black)	(White)	(Black)		
LDGC (White)	—	0.006*	$0.000^{*}$	0.000*		
LDGC (Black)	0.006*		0.329	0.082		
Zirconia (White)	$0.000^{*}$	0.329	—	0.429		
Zirconia (Black)	0.000*	0.082	0.429	_		

 $p^* > 0.050$  is significant

respectively, which were in parallel with previous studies.<sup>19,23,24</sup> The total  $\Delta E^*$  recorded after 14 days of AQ immersion were 2.63 and 2.99, which indicated clinical acceptability. These values agreed with previous studies on the color change values of CAD/CAM ceramic materials.<sup>4,13,19,23</sup> The null hypotheses regarding the effect of AQ immersion on colors (TP and  $\Delta E^*$ ) were partially rejected because significant differences between LDGC under black background and zirconia under white and black backgrounds were observed.

The translucency of the prosthesis has a remarkable effect on the total esthetic success of the prostheses, and the reproduction of color and translucency of natural teeth is one of the main goals for esthetic dental restorations.<sup>23</sup> The values of TP before and after AQ staining and immersion were 16.62 and 15.93, respectively, which were slightly lesser than the values documented by Koseoglu et al.,<sup>23</sup> who recognized that the TP value of zirconia ceramic materials reaches 18.00 and 17.5 before and after thermocycling. Parallel and similar TP values were recorded by AI Moaleem et al.<sup>19</sup> Moreover, Vasiliu et al.<sup>1</sup> observed that the TP values of milled feldspathic and zirconia ceramics are 15  $\pm$  1.2 and 13  $\pm$  1.4, respectively.<sup>24</sup> Alshali et al., examined the TP values of different types of monolithic zirconia and registered TP values of 11.9-12.9, which were lesser than the values recorded in the present study.<sup>29</sup> This difference can be clarified by the thickness and shape of the tested CAD/CAM ceramic materials, because they used circular 0.5-mm-thick samples, whereas the present study used  $12 \times 2.0 \text{ mm}^2$  samples. Alamledin et al.<sup>30</sup> investigated the effect of different thicknesses on the translucency of two monolithic zirconia dental ceramics. They concluded that ceramic type and thickness have remarkable effects on the TP of monolithic zirconia. The TP values of LDGC materials with different surface treatments (hydrofluoric acid, silane bonding agent, air abrasion) were higher in comparison with the control group.<sup>31</sup>

An extended visual rating scale was made to interpret the values from a very slight mismatch in color with very good esthetics to obvious variance but with usual acceptability to most patients.<sup>32</sup> Lesser  $\Delta E^*$  values were obtained by Soares et al.,<sup>22</sup> who assessed LDGC samples after different surface treatments. They found



Figs 2A to D: 3D images of the Ra graphical outputs of (A) White LDGC background; (B) Black LDGC background; (C) Zirconia white background; and (D) Zirconia black background



Table 5: Medil and 5D	of the blaxial compres	sive strengths of th	le lesteu materiais by An	IOVA and post noc t	est	
Ceramic type	Sample (N)	Background	Mean and SD	Minimum	Maximum	p value
LDGC	10	White	843.43 (42.38)	775.94	897.11	0.052
	10	Black	836.37 (33.32)	799.46	889.06	
Zirconia	10	White	656.10 (31.11)	598.74	682.26	0.038
	10	Black	635.82 (42.67)	589.29	682.12	
LDGC	10	Gray	830.20 (28.24)	793 .84	882.14	0.126
Zirconia	10	Gray	632.64 (31.62)	594.24	643.28	
			Post hoc tests			
Ceramic type	LDGC (White)	LDGC (Black)		Zirconia (White)		Zirconia (Black)
LDGC (White)	—	0.679		0.000		0.000
LDGC (Black)	0.679	_		0.000		0.000
Zirconia (White)	0.000	0.000				0.240
Zirconia (Black)	0.000	0.000		0.240		—



Fig. 3: Percentage of the failure mode for different ceramic types after AQ staining

**Table 6:** Two- and three-way repeated-measures ANOVA of ceramic type, biaxial force, TP, and  $\Delta E^*$  values

Source	Type III sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	p value
Intercept	21186.80	1	21186.80	13951.30	0.000*
Ceramic	23.57	3	7.86	5.17	0.004*
Biaxial fracture force	9.32	1	9.32	18.83	0.000*
ТР	1.883	1	1.883	3.827	0.060
$\Delta E^*$	4.61	1	4.61	68.91	0.000*
Ceramic $ imes$ biaxial fracture force	1.05	3	0.35	0.71	0.554
Ceramic × TP	0.949	2	0.474	0.964	0.393
Ceramic $\times \Delta E^*$	0.09	3	0.03	0.45	0.000*
Ceramic $\times$ Biaxial fracture force $\times$ TP	2.505	4	0.626	1.272	0.303
Ceramic × Biaxial fracture force × TP × $\Delta E^*$	641.96	1	641.96	7511.04	0.000*
Error	54.670	36	1.52		

\*Significant difference at  $p \leq 0.05$ 

that aluminum oxide had the highest  $\Delta E^*$  value (1.42), followed by hydrofluoric acid and silane coupling agents.<sup>30</sup> A higher  $\Delta E^*$ value (5.4 ± 0.6) was recorded by Ozdemir and Surmelioglu, who evaluated the  $\Delta E^*$  of zirconia CAD/CAM ceramic materials before and after 14 days of immersion in normal saline.<sup>33</sup> Clinically, the performance of LDGC after acidic storage and aging for 14 days was slightly inferior compared with that of zirconia specimens, as they presented remarkable and clinically observable differences in color after acidic storage and aging.<sup>34</sup> The  $\Delta E^*$  of zirconia CAD/CAM ceramic material was 2.63,<sup>35</sup> which was in parallel with the value (2.59) recorded in the present study. Alghazali et al.<sup>13</sup> showed that the  $\Delta E^*$  values of zirconia and LDGC after AQ immersion are 1.82 and 1.58, respectively, which are lesser than the values obtained in the current study (2.63 for zirconia and 2.99 for LDGC). The difference may be related to the thickness of the ceramic materials, which was 1 mm for his study. The  $\Delta E^*$  was higher after 2 weeks of AQ staining. Values higher than 2.63 and 2.99 were recorded for LDGC and zirconia after the examination of different CAD/CAM ceramic materials.<sup>36</sup>

The Ra values of the samples from each subgroup were analyzed. Lithium disilicate glass ceramic (LDGC) is biocompatible and has excellent physical and mechanical properties, such as high esthetics, long color stability, surface gloss and luster, high wear resistance, and low thermal conductivity.<sup>37</sup> Al-Angari et al.<sup>26</sup> found an increase in Ra during Ra after the simulation of 1 year of coffee drinking. Similar results were recorded by Aldosari et al.<sup>38</sup> who concluded that the Ra values for zirconia, hybrid, and feldspathic CAD/CAM ceramics increased after AQ immersion for 14 days. In the current study, the computed Ra was slightly higher than those in other studies as confirmed by the images shown in Figure 2.

Arabic Qahwa (AQ) is widespread among Saudi populations and consumed twice daily. The null hypothesis correlating AQ immersion with biaxial fracture forces was rejected because significant differences in biaxial forces were found between the zirconia and LDGC subgroups (Table 5). Similar biaxial forces were published by Yilmaz and Okutan<sup>39</sup> who compared the biaxial flexure strengths of monolithic zirconia specimens with different surface treatments. They concluded that the air abrasion group after sintering had the highest average mean and SD for biaxial flexural strength (1043.37  $\pm$  116.01 MPa), which is higher than the value recorded in the current study (656.10  $\pm$  31.11 MPa). Both studies recorded remarkable differences between the tested groups. Another study documented a compressive strength near 800 MPa for glazed CAD/CAM ceramic material after thermocycling and khat immersion for 14 days.<sup>19</sup> From a clinical view, Jeong et al.<sup>40</sup> documented the 100% survival and success rates of fully sintered (Y,Nb)-TZP single-unit restorations at 6 months.<sup>17</sup>

Alahmari et al. found that no remarkable differences in two-way ANOVA tests for samples fabricated from lithium disilicate (e.max CAD) before and after aging and the fracture forces of the tested crowns. This result is because the tested samples were in the form of crowns.<sup>14,41</sup> Similar findings were recorded in the interactions between flexural strength and different types of monolithic zirconia ceramics (Celtra<sup>®</sup> DUO, Vita suprinity, and Bruxzir).<sup>41</sup> Yilmaz and Okutan showed an unremarkable interaction between the ceramic type and flexural strength of multilayered monolithic zirconia. This result could be explained by the different surface treatments used on the tested specimens along with hydrothermal aging.<sup>40</sup> Juntavee et al.<sup>41</sup> assessed the flexural strengths of different types of zirconia, and the recorded mean and SD values for the tested groups were  $642.71 \pm 92.54$ ,  $475.29 \pm 76.81$ , and  $522.65 \pm 77.98$  MPa. Similar values were obtained in the current study as presented in Table 5.

Fracture strength tests are usually used to estimate the behaviors of different oral prosthetic materials during functioning. Regarding fracture types, LDGC samples mostly showed reparable fractures. The present study recorded 40% reparable and semi-separable fractures. Al Moaleem et al. recorded the near-fracture percentages of zirconia samples, which were 30–70% and 40–60% for glazed and polished zirconia samples after thermocycling, respectively.<sup>19</sup> The same configurations of zirconia CAD/CAM samples after the application of biaxial fracture forces (Fig. 2D) were recognized microscopically by Juntavee et al. This configuration was represented as semi-reparable and recorded

in 40 and 47% of the zirconia samples under white and black backgrounds.

Parallel significant differences were found in the interaction among  $\Delta E^*$ , ceramic types (zirconia, hybrid ceramic, and feldspathic) and coffee staining materials.<sup>37</sup> Several studies demonstrated the considerable interaction among CAD/CAM ceramic materials (lithium disilicate, zirconia, and feldspathic),  $\Delta E^*$ , and coffee staining materials.<sup>42–44</sup> A recent study by Theocharidou et al., examined and compared the impact of acidic storage and *in vitro* aging on the TP and  $\Delta E^*$  values of CAD/CAM lithium disilicate and zirconia.<sup>34</sup> The two- and three-way ANOVA interactions showed remarkable differences among ceramic type, TP, and  $\Delta E^*$ . This result partially agreed with the interaction tests carried out in the present study, because TP showed no remarkable differences in the present results. The differences in results could be related to differences in immersion and staining media (gastric acids vs AQ) and storage time (24 hours vs 14 days).

This study has the following limitations. First, the number of variables would increase if we added the result of the surface treatment test as a separate variable; but this result was not added as a variable in this study. Moreover, no uniform protocol for the simulation of the oral physiological situation of the soft and hard tissues of the oral cavity has been established; therefore, the current study does not reflect the exact oral environment.

# CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the current study, the following conclusions were drawn. The overall TP values of LDGC and zirconia were similar to values recorded in other researches with slight reduction after AQ staining. The  $\Delta E^*$  values of LDGC and zirconia were within clinical acceptable range, without a remarkable difference. Zirconia groups only had remarkable differences in TP values under both backgrounds, whereas both zirconia and LDGC had notable variances in  $\Delta E^*$  values under white background only. Biaxial fracture forces were higher in LDGC than in zirconia and had outstanding changes among zirconia samples in different backgrounds. Reparable type of fractures was the highest type with significant differences between and within groups.

#### REFERENCES

- Giordano R. Materials for chairside CAD/CAM-produced restorations. J Am Dent Assoc 2006;137:S14–S21. DOI: 10.14219/ jada.archive.2006.0397.
- Colombo M, Poggio C, Lasagna A, et al. Vickers micro-hardness of new restorative CAD/CAM dental materials: evaluation and comparison after exposure to acidic drink. Materials (Basel) 2019;12(8):1246. DOI: 10.3390/ma12081246.
- Erozan Ç, Ozan O. Evaluation of the precision of different intraoral Scanner Computer-Aided Design (CAD) software combinations in digital dentistry. Med Sci Monit 2020;26:e918529. DOI: 10.12659/ MSM.918529.
- Adawi HA, Al Moaleem MM, Al Ahmari NM, et al. Assessment of color stainability of mailed CAD/CAM ceramic materials after hot and cold coffee immersion at different time intervals. Med Sci Monit 2021;27:e932745. DOI: 10.12659/MSM.932745.
- Lambert H, Durand J-C, Jacquot B, et al. Dental biomaterials for chairside CAD/CAM: state of the art.J Adv Prosthodont 2017;9(6): 486–495. DOI: 10.4047/jap.2017.9.6.486.
- 6. Al Ahmari NM, Adawi HA, Al Moaleem MM, et al. Bonding strength of lithium disilicate adhesive crowns with different occluso-cervical

preparation heights and cement types. J Biomater Tissue Eng 2022;12(3):533-543. DOI: 10.1166/jbt.2022.2821.

- Willard A, Gabriel Chu TM. The science and application of IPS e.Max dental ceramic. Kaohsiung J Med Sci 2018;34(4):238–242. DOI: 10.1016/j. kjms.2018.01.012.
- 8. Alahmari NM, Adawi HA, Al Moaleem MM, et al. Effects of the cervical marginal relocation technique on the marginal adaptation of lithium disilicate CAD/CAM ceramic crowns on premolars. J Contemp Dent Pract 2021;22(8):900–906. PMID: 34753842.
- 9. Mehta D, Shetty R. Bonding to zirconia: elucidating the confusion. Int Dent SA 2010;12(2):46–52.
- Sulaiman TA, Abdulmajeed AA, Shahramian K, et al. Effect of different treatments on the flexural strength of fully versus partially stabilized monolithic zirconia. J Prosthet Dent 2017;118(2):216–220. DOI: 10.1016/j. prosdent.2016.10.031.
- 11. Manicone PF, Rossi lommetti P, Raffaelli L. An overview of zirconia ceramics: basic properties and clinical applications. J Dent 2007;35(11):819–826. DOI: 10.1016/j.jdent.2007.07.008.
- Chevalier J, Gremillard L, Virkar AV, et al. The tetragonal-monoclinic transformation in zirconia: lessons learned and future trends. J Am Ceram Soc 2009;92(9):1901–1920. DOI: 10.1111/j.1551-2916. 2009.03278.x.
- 13. Alghazali N, Hakami AA, AlAjlan GA, et al. Influence of the Arabic-coffee on the overall color of glazed or polished porcelain veneers–study. Open Dent J 2019;13:364–370. DOI: 10.2174/1874210601913010364.
- 14. Alghazali N, Burnside G, Moallem M, et al. Assessment of perceptibility and acceptability of color difference of denture teeth. J Dent 2012;40:e10–e17. DOI: 10.1016/j.jdent.2012.04.023.
- Douglas RD, Steinhauer TJ, Wee AG. Intraoral determination of the tolerance of dentists for perceptibility and acceptability of shade mismatch. J Prosthet Dent 2007;97(4):200–208. DOI: 10.1016/ j.prosdent.2007.02.012.
- 16. Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE). CIE technical report: colorimetry. [CIE Pub No.15.3]. 2004.
- Pires-de-Souza Fde C, Casemiro LA, Garcia Lda F, et al. Color stability of dental ceramics submitted to artificial accelerated aging after repeated firings. J Prosthet Dent 2009;101(1):13–18. DOI: 10.1016/ S0022-3913(08)60282-6.
- Abdelbary O, Wahsh M, Sherif A, et al. Effect of accelerated aging on translucency of monolithic zirconia. Future Dent J 2016;2(2):65–69. DOI: 10.1016/j.fdj.2016.11.001.
- Al-Moaleem MM, Alathwani AA, Alamir AA, et al. Effect of thermocycling and khat extract staining on the optical and physical properties of ceramic materials. Med Sci 2021;25(118): 3146–3156.
- 20. Wang F, Takahashi H, Iwasaki N. Translucency of dental ceramics with different thicknesses. J Prosthet Dent 2013;110(1):14–20. DOI: 10.1016/S0022-3913(13)60333-9.
- 21. Awad D, Stawarczyk B, Liebermann A, et al. Translucency of esthetic dental restorative CAD/CAM materials and composite resins with respect to thickness and surface roughness. J Prosthet Dent 2015;113(6):534–540. DOI: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2014.12.003.
- 22. Yannikakis SA, Zissis AJ, Polyzois GL, et al. Color stability of provisional resin restorative materials. J Prosthet Dent 1998;80(5):533–539. DOI: 10.1016/s0022-3913(98)70028-9.
- Koseoglu M, Albayrak B, Gül P, et al. Effect of thermocycle aging on color stability of monolithic zirconia. Open J Stomatol 2019;9(3): 75–85. DOI: 10.4236/ojst.2019.93008.
- 24. Vasiliu R-D, Porojan SD, Bîrdeanu MI, et al. Effect of thermocycling, surface treatments and microstructure on the optical properties and roughness of CAD-CAM and heat-pressed glass-ceramics. Materials 2020;13(2):381. DOI: 10.3390/ma13020381.
- Kozmacs C, Hollmann B, Arnold WH, et al. Polishing of monolithic zirconia crowns—results of different dental practitioner groups. Dent J (Basel) 2017;5(4):30. DOI: 10.3390/dj5040030.
- Al-Angari SS, Meaige S, Almayouf N, et al. The Effects of a coffee beverage and whitening systems on surface roughness and gloss of CAD/CAM lithium disilicate glass-ceramics. J Appl Biomater

Funct Mater 2021;1-8.sagepub.com/journals-permissions. DOI: 10.1177/22808000211058866.

- Stawarczykn B, Liebermann A, Eichberger M, et al. Evaluation of mechanical and optical behavior of current esthetic dental restorative CAD/CAM composites. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 2015;55:1–11. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2015.10.004.
- Alakkad L, Kostagianni A, Finkelman M, et al. Biaxial flexural strength of various CAD-CAM glass-ceramic materials. Am J Dent 2021;34(2):91–96. PMID: 33940666.
- 29. Alshali SA, Kazim SA, Nageeb R, et al. Comparative evaluation of the translucency of monolithic zirconia. J Contemp Dent Pract 2020;21(1):51–55. PMID: 32381801.
- Alamledin AM, Shokry TE, El Kouedi AY. Effect of thickness variation on the translucency of two recent high translucent monolithic zirconia ceramics. Al-Azhar J Dent Sci 2020;23(4):321–326. DOI: 10.21608/ ajdsm.2020.25066.1012.
- Soares EJ, de Lima Oliveira RF, Jardilino Silame FD, et al. Color stability, translucency, and wettability of a lithium disilicate dental ceramics submitted to different surface treatments. Int J Prosthodont Restor Dent 2021;11(1):4–8. DOI: 10.5005/jp-journals-10019-1304.
- 32. Dima R. Visual versus colorimetric data analysis for color determination in resin veneers. Appl Med Inform 2012;30(1):49–54.
- 33. Şişmanoğlu S, Gürcan AT. Evaluation of stain susceptibility of different CAD/CAM blocks after immersion in coffee. Düzce Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi 2021;11(3):284–289.
- Theocharidou A, Kontonasaki E, Koukousaki I, et al. Effect of in vitro aging and acidic storage on color, translucency, and contrast ratio of monolithic zirconia and lithium disilicate ceramics. J Prosthet Dent 2021;1–10. DOI: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2020.12.015.
- Al Moaleem MM, AlSanosy R, Al Ahmari NM, et al. Effects of khat on surface roughness and color of feldspathic and zirconia porcelain materials under simulated oral cavity conditions. Medicina 2020;56(5):234–247. DOI: 10.3390/medicina56050234.
- 36. Yildirim B, Recen D, Simsek AT. Effect of cement color and toothshaded background on the final color of lithium disilicate and zirconia-reinforced lithium silicate ceramics: an in-vitro study. J Eashatic Resto Dent 2021;33(2):380–386. DOI: 10.1111/jerd.12611.
- Carrabba M, Vichi A, Vultaggio G, et al. Effect of finishing and polishing on the surface roughness and gloss of feldspathic ceramic for chairside CAD/CAM systems. Oper Dent 2017;42(2):175–184. DOI: 10.2341/15-174-L.
- Aldosari LI, Alshadidi AA, Porwal A, et al. Surface roughness and color measurements of glazed or polished hybrid, feldspathic, and zirconia CAD/CAM restorative materials after hot and cold coffee immersion. BMC Oral Health 2021;21:42. DOI: 10.1186/s12903-021-01770-2.
- Yilmaz AD, Okutan Y. Effect of airabrasion at pre- and/or postsintered stage and hydrothermal aging on surface roughness, phase transformation, and flexural strength of multilayered monolithic zirconia. J Biomed Mater Res 2021;109(4):606–616. DOI: 10.1002/ jbm.b.34760.
- Jeong K-W, Yoon H-I, Lee J-H, et al. Clinical feasibility of fully sintered (Y, Nb)-TZP for CAD-CAM single-unit restoration: a pilot study. Materials 2021;14:2762. DOI: 10.3390/ma14112762.
- 41. Juntavee N, Juntavee A, Phetpanompond S. Biaxial flexural strength of high-translucence monolithic ceramics upon various thicknesses. Sci World J 2021; Article ID: 4323914. 10 p. DOI: 10.1155/2021/ 4323914.
- 42. Egilmez F, Ergun G, Cekic-Nagas I, et al. Comparative color and surface parameters of current esthetic restorative CAD/CAM materials. J Adv Prosthodont 2018;10(1):32–42. DOI: 10.4047/jap.2018.10.1.32.
- Palla ES, Kontonasaki E, Kantiranis N, et al. Color stability of lithium disilicate ceramics after aging and immersion in common beverages. J Prosthet Dent 2018;119(4):632–642. DOI: 10.1016/ j.prosdent.2017.04.031.
- Abu-Obaid A, AlMawash A, Alyabis N, et al. An in vitro evaluation of the effect of polishing on the stainability of different CAD/CAM ceramic materials. Saudi Dent J 2020;32(3):135–141. DOI: 10.1016/ j.sdentj.2019.08.005.

161