ABSTRACT

Aim: Since fiber posts are not recommended for teeth under lateral loads, a new alloy containing >80% copper (nonprecious gold or NPG) was introduced with a modulus of elasticity closer to that of dentin and easier preparation. This study aimed to compare the fracture strength of endodontically treated maxillary central incisors restored with nickel chromium (Ni-Cr) and NPG alloys.

Materials and methods: Casting post and cores were fabricated of Ni-Cr and NPG alloys for 24 maxillary central incisors (n = 12). Full-metal crowns were also fabricated and placed under cyclin loading in 30 N load and 45° angle, for 250,000 cycles with 2.6 Hz frequency. The fracture strength was measured at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min and 135° angle in a universal testing machine. Data were analyzed using independent t-test.

Results: The mean fracture strength was 731.179 ± 327.47 and 1411.89 ± 313.56 N in the Ni-Cr and NPG groups respectively; this difference was statistically significant (p < 0.001). The fracture was irreparable in all teeth since the fracture line was below the cementoenamel junction (CEJ).

Conclusion: The fracture strength of teeth restored with cast NPG post and cores was significantly higher than that of teeth restored with cast Ni-Cr post and cores.

Clinical significance: Due to proper mechanical properties, NPG post and cores seem to be a suitable choice for restoration of severely damaged anterior teeth, provided that other properties are proven to be acceptable.
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INTRODUCTION

Endodontic treatment and subsequent prosthetic reconstruction are the suggested treatment plan for teeth that have lost a great portion of their structure.1-5 Loss of coronal tooth structure due to caries, previous restoration, and root weakness due to excessive dentin removal during root canal cleaning and shaping,6-8 increase the tooth susceptibility to fracture.9-12 Thus, post and core treatment followed by crown coverage is the suggested treatment plan for teeth with moderate-to-severe loss of tooth structure.13-15

Various types of post systems have been suggested for the restoration of endodontically treated teeth. The difference between the modulus of elasticity of the post and dentin can apply stress to root structure.16 Using a post with a modulus of elasticity similar to that of dentin can result in a more favorable biomechanical function. Thus, glass fiber posts have been the topic of new studies;17 however, casting posts remain the method of choice for the restoration of anterior teeth with moderate-to-severe loss of tooth structure.15,18 Several studies have shown that the fracture strength of teeth reconstructed with casting posts was higher than that of teeth restored with glass fiber posts. In long-term follow-ups, failure rate of fiber posts was more than twice the rate for conventionally cemented
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titanium posts and casting posts.19,20 Optimal adaptation of post to the prepared post space15,21-25 and subsequent better stress distribution25 are among other advantages of the conventional casting post and cores. Moreover, casting posts require less canal preparation and widening compared with prefabricated posts; this advantage can decrease the risk of root perforation.26 Aside from the aforementioned advantages, casting post and cores have disadvantages as well. A systematic review summarized them to be the time-consuming nature of treatment for both patient and technician, high cost,27 and technique complexity.12 Another thing is their high modulus of elasticity, leading to transfer loads directly from the post to the tooth interface, without shock absorption, resulting in fracture.28,29 Irreparable fracture in the remaining tooth structure often occurs with these posts,13,30-32 which is among the most common reasons for failure of casting metal posts.33 However, Rathke and Meisohle34 showed that metal rigid posts were superior to nonmetal posts.

Nickel-chromium is among the most commonly used alloys for the fabrication of casting posts and cores. Hayashi et al35 demonstrated that teeth restored with Ni-Cr posts had significantly higher fracture strength and lower risk of vertical root fracture than other groups. Sadeghi29 indicated that maxillary canine teeth restored with casting Ni-Cr post and cores had a higher mean fracture strength than those restored with zirconia and quartz fiber posts; however, the fracture type in this group was irreparable. Difficult finishing and polishing are another limitation of this alloy.30 Despite these disadvantages, Ni-Cr alloy is still the most commonly used alloy for the fabrication of casting post and cores.34

Recently, NPG alloy has been used for the fabrication of posts and cores. It has a closer modulus of elasticity to that of dentin. The NPG alloy was introduced in 1987.35 This alloy contains more than 80% copper and is known as NPG alloy.36 It has been claimed that the NPG alloy has optimal physical and mechanical properties for endodontic posts and has a much easier preparation and handling than Ni-Cr posts.35,36 However, studies on the fracture strength of teeth restored with NPG posts are limited.23 This study aimed to compare Ni-Cr and NPG posts and their effect on the fracture strength of endodontically treated teeth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 24 maxillary central incisors were randomly divided into two groups (n = 12). Teeth with cracks, previous endodontic treatment, those with restorations or severe caries were excluded.18,37-39 Maxillary central incisors with the following dimensions were chosen:

- Root length: 13 ± 1 mm
- Mesiodistal width of tooth crown at the height of contour: 8.5 ± 1 mm
- Labiolingual width of tooth crown at the height of contour: 7 ± 1 mm
- Mesiodistal width of root at the CEJ: 6.3 ± 0.5 mm
- Labiolingual width of root at the CEJ: 6 ± 0.4 mm

Prior to testing, the extracted teeth were immersed in 5.25% sodium hypochlorite for 24 hours33 and were then stored in saline at room temperature40,42-44 for less than 3 months until the experiment.38,45,46

Root Canal Treatment

Access cavity was prepared using high-speed hand piece and long-shaft diamond bur (Teeskavan, Iran) under water coolant.23 According to the standard method, root canals were cleaned and shaped using K files (Mani, Tochigi, Japan) up to size 40.5,25,33,47 The working length was determined as 1 mm short of the apex.5,48-50 Canals were irrigated with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite23,50-52 and then rinsed with saline, dried with paper point (Sinadent, Iran),38,42 and finally obturated with gutta-percha (Orca; Tiagin, China) and AH26 sealer (Dentsply, Ash, Germany)5,46,52,53 using the lateral condensation technique.5,18,29,42

Periodontal Ligament Simulation and Mounting of Specimens

Roots were embedded in melted wax (Cavex) to 2 mm beneath the cervical line to obtain 0.2 to 0.3 mm wax thickness.5,23,45,54 The teeth were then mounted in acrylic blocks (Pekatray; Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany) so that 2 mm of the cervical part of the root remained out of the acrylic block (to maintain the biologic width).5,23,45,50,54 Wax was removed from the root surface and the remaining space between the root and acrylic block was filled with thin silicon (Speedex, Colten AG, Altstatte, Switzerland). This thin silicon layer simulated the periodontal ligament.5,23,52,54 The teeth were mounted in resin blocks (Acropars, Iran) so that the longitudinal axis of each tooth remained parallel to the longitudinal axis of the block and the middle part of the CEJ was 2 mm coronal to the acrylic resin.18,46,49

The tooth crowns in groups I and II were then cut perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the tooth using a hand piece with a diamond disk (Monotrac, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA) under adequate water irrigation 2 mm above the CEJ.5,18,42,44,46,55,56

Fabrication of Casting Post and Cores

Gutta-percha was removed from the canal using #2 and #3 peeso reamers (Mani, Tochigi, Japan)5,42,44 so that 4 mm of gutta-percha remained in the apical portion.3,18,48 Root canals were then standardized using #1 and 2 fiber post tapered drills (Premier, Italy) to a maximum size of 1.4 mm.42,57
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Casting posts were fabricated using the direct technique. Resin patterns were made using self-cure acrylic resin (Duralay, USA) and pin jet (Angelus, Brazil). The cores were then made as follows: a 0.7 mm labial and lingual tooth reduction was performed. The remaining mesiodistal and labiolingual width was 5.5 and 4.5 mm respectively, and occlusogingival length was set to 8 mm.

**Casting of Post and Cores and Cementation**

All specimens were sprued (Dandiran, Tehran, Iran) and invested (Z4, Belgium) using the standard technique. Machine casting with Ni-Cr alloy (Supercast, USA) and NPG (Albadent, USA) was performed for each group. After removing the specimens from the investment and sandblasting, their seating and fit were checked using Occlude fit checker (Pascal, USA) and confirmed radiographically. After ensuring the complete seating, the post and cores were cemented with self-cure glass ionomer cement (GC, Tokyo, Japan).

**Cyclic Loading (Chewing Simulator)**

In the oral environment, anterior teeth are often inclined with 45° angle. Thus, the specimens were mounted in the chewing simulator (Germany) with 45° angle for cyclic loading using auto-polymerizing acrylic resin (Acropars, Iran) (Fig. 1). After setting the acryl, mounted specimens were fixed in saline containers and subjected to 250,000 cycles with 2.6 Hz frequency and 30 N load. The load was applied 3 mm below the incisal edge. The applied load corresponded to 1 year of clinical service (Fig. 2).

**Static Loading**

The specimens were subjected to static load at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min at 135° angle until failure in a universal testing machine. Type of fracture was also registered. All procedures were performed by one operator for both groups (Fig. 3).

The t-test was used to compare the mean fracture strength between Ni-Cr and NPG groups; p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
The mean fracture strength was 731.179 ± 327.47 N in Ni-Cr and 1411.89 ± 313.56 N in the NPG group (Table 1). Considering the difference of 706.321 N between the two groups and standard error of 136.97 N as well as the distribution of fracture strength values in the Ni-Cr and NPG groups and 95% confidence interval, the difference was significant between the two groups (p < 0.001) (Graph 1). Evaluation of the type of fracture revealed that in all specimens, fracture occurred below the CEJ and was therefore, irreparable (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

This study assessed the fracture strength of endodontically treated teeth restored with Ni-Cr and NPG post and cores after cyclic loading. The type of fracture was also determined. The results showed that the fracture strength of teeth restored with NPG post and core was significantly higher than that of teeth restored with Ni-Cr post and core.

In molar teeth, due to the presence of adequate dentin and application of axial loads, the use of post is not often necessary for retention of the core and they are often restored with amalgam or composite. However, in single-rooted teeth, especially incisors that are under off-axial loads, the use of casting post and cores has been the gold standard for decades. When a large amount of anterior tooth structure is lost, tooth restoration with casting post and core is performed. In restoration of teeth under lateral loads, the use of fiber carbon, woven fiber, and glass fiber is not recommended. Moreover, in these teeth, metal posts show higher fatigue resistance than fiber-reinforced composite or zirconia posts. Thus, these posts are preferred to nonmetal ones.

Martinez-Insua et al compared the fracture strength of single rooted teeth restored with cast metal gold post and cores, fiber carbon, and composite cores. The results showed that the fracture strength of teeth restored with metal casting posts was higher than the others. The mean fracture strength of both groups was lower than the mean fracture strength of NPG and Ni-Cr groups, which may

---

**Table 1:** Fracture strength (N) of endodontically treated teeth restored with NPG and Ni-Cr post and cores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Fracture strength of Ni-Cr post and cores</th>
<th>Fracture strength of NPG post and cores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,702.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,610.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>569.91</td>
<td>1,229.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>516.86</td>
<td>1,832.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>383.58</td>
<td>1,762.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,069.88</td>
<td>814.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>961.08</td>
<td>1,703.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>624.03</td>
<td>1,035.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>399.63</td>
<td>1,372.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>625.46</td>
<td>1,428.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,418.27</td>
<td>1,227.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>743.09</td>
<td>1,521.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Graph 1:** Comparison of fracture strength of Ni-Cr and NPG groups with 95% confidence interval

**Figs 4A and B:** Type of fracture in Ni-Cr (A) and NPG (B) groups
be due to the type of the used resin cement, application of static load immediately after mounting of specimens and inadequate standardization of them. Hatzikyriakos et al²⁰ evaluated 154 posts and cores in 150 patients; 69 teeth were restored with screw posts, para posts, and composite cores and 33 teeth were restored with casting posts and cores. After 3 years of follow-up, the success of treatment of anterior teeth restored with casting post and core was 93% compared with 89.9% for the other groups; these findings are in line with our results. Sirimai et al²¹ compared the vertical root fracture resistance of six post and core systems including prefabricated and casting posts and showed that teeth restored with custom casting posts and cores yielded the highest fracture strength.

In 1987, NPG was introduced as a new alloy. In addition to the advantages of its casting method, it revealed more favorable properties compared with other alloys.³⁵ This alloy has a closer modulus of elasticity than that of dentin. It was also suggested and used for the fabrication of post and cores; however, studies regarding the fracture strength of teeth restored with NPG posts are limited.²³

Pereira et al²² compared the fracture strength of 50 canine teeth restored with NPG casting posts and cores with prefabricated stainless steel posts. Five groups were studied. Group I was the control group with no coronal structure that received NPG casting post and core. Group II had no coronal structure; groups III to V had 1, 2, and 3 mm of ferrule respectively, and were restored with prefabricated stainless steel posts and resin cores and subjected to static load at 45° angle. The fracture strength of groups I and II was significantly higher than that of other groups. It should be noted that the mean fracture strength of teeth in their study was much lower than the values obtained in our study, which may be due to the following reasons:

- No standardization of specimens
- Unequal canal preparation
- Static load application only

In their study, group I showed root fracture while most specimens in other groups showed fracture in the resin part.

When the fracture load and compressive stress are applied to the rigid post, the peak local stress is created in the root, which will lead to root fracture.²³ When a post and core system with high modulus of elasticity is subjected to loading, a small crack with slow propagation rate is created at the post–cementum–dentin interface and when the post–dentin bond is lost, the post becomes mobile in the root and serves as a wedge. The energy concentrated in the post is transferred to dentin and leads to root fracture.⁶⁹,⁷⁰

In a study by Barcellos et al,⁶³ the fracture strength of endodontically treated teeth restored with intracanal posts and the effect of post system and dentin thickness were evaluated. A total of 70 maxillary canine teeth were divided into 7 groups (n = 10). One group served as the control and the other groups were restored with fiber posts, fiber posts reinforced with composite and cast Ni-Cr with 1 and 2 mm of root thickness. Full metal crowns were fabricated and subjected to 250,000 cycles (cyclic loading). Static load was applied until fracture. The fracture strength of fiber posts reinforced with composite was significantly higher than that of fiber posts and casting posts. No significant association was noted between the type of post and the remaining tooth structure. The mean fracture strength of Barcellos Ni-Cr casting post was lower than that in the current study, which may be due to inadequate stability of casting post and core after cementation (specimens remained in place by finger pressure for 20 seconds). Most dental fractures in casting post and core group were irreparable while in the other two groups, fractures were reparable and the type of fracture in the casting post group was similar to the type of fracture in our study. Another study demonstrated that the majority of fractures in teeth restored with casting posts were vertical root fractures.⁷²

Sadeghi²⁹ showed that canine teeth restored with Ni-Cr casting posts and cores had significantly higher fracture strength than zirconia fiber and quartz groups; however, 92% of fractures occurred in the tooth structure.

Pereira et al²⁴ indicated that NPG casting posts with 10 mm length had higher fracture strength. The mean fracture strength of the groups was not reported in their study. The tooth length was reported to be in the range of 15 to 18 mm while they were all cut at 15 mm length. They reported the breakage of some specimens during cyclic loading, which they thought might be due to errors in the conduction of the test. Haghighi and Jahromy²⁵ compared the fracture strength of endodontically treated maxillary second premolars with two types of casting posts; 45 premolars were divided into three groups (n = 10) of (I) restored with NPG post and core; (II) restored with Ni-Cr post and core, and (III) no post and core, restored with amalgam. Static load was applied to the buccal cusp at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min at 45° angle until fracture. The results showed that the fracture strength of teeth restored with NPG was significantly higher than that of teeth restored with Ni-Cr. Moreover, the results showed that fracture mainly occurred in the root of teeth restored with Ni-Cr and NPG posts, while in teeth restored with amalgam, fracture occurred mainly in core. The aforementioned study reported lower fracture strength of teeth restored without post and core compared with those restored with casting post and core. Also, the fracture strength of teeth restored with NPG post was higher than that of teeth restored with Ni-Cr post. The
mean fracture strength of NPG and Ni-Cr groups in their study was lower than the mean fracture strength of NPG and Ni-Cr groups in the study, which may be due to the lack of placing a crown, as crowns significantly increase the fracture strength of teeth.

Khaledi et al. assessed the fracture strength of teeth restored with NPG and Ni-Cr posts and cores. A total of 40 maxillary central incisors were cut 2 mm above their CEJ and divided into two groups (n = 20). Both groups were subjected to static loading at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min at 45° angle to determine the fracture strength. The fracture strength of Ni-Cr group was found to be significantly higher than that of the NPG group. Their findings were different from ours, which may be attributed to the fact that the teeth were not standardized in buccolingual direction (the teeth were standardized only in mesiodistal dimension and root length). Moreover, in their study, crowns were not placed and cyclic loading was not performed. The fracture strength reported in their study was even lower than that reported in other studies for Ni-Cr alloys.

No fracture occurred in the posts, crowns, or acrylic resins in our study. Debonding of crowns or extrusion of specimen from the acrylic did not occur either. All specimens showed the root fracture. As the masticatory load for the anterior teeth is on average 222 N, all fractures in our study occurred in loads higher than the physiologic threshold. It means that these fractures do not occur normally under physiologic loads in the oral cavity and thus, both alloys can be successfully used for tooth restoration in clinical setting. All fractures occurred in the root below the CEJ and were irreparable, which is in agreement with the results of Haghighi and Jahromy. In other studies, most fractures in the casting post and core groups were irreparable.

In this study, cyclic loading was performed at a rate corresponding to 1 year clinical service. Higher cycles of and conduct of thermocycling can better simulate the oral environment. Future studies are required with the use of ceramic crowns. Also, in vitro studies are required on the disadvantages of NPG, such as corrosion. Future clinical studies with long-term follow-ups are also recommended to cast a final judgment in this respect.

Due to superior mechanical properties and higher fracture strength, NPG post and core seems to be a more suitable choice than Ni-Cr for the restoration of severely damaged, endodontically treated anterior teeth (taking into account 2 mm of tooth height for the ferrule effect).
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