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ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the effect of hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) therapy on the osseointegration of dental implants by resonance frequency analysis.

Materials and methods: Six rabbits of age 2 to 2 and 1/2 years, weight approximately 2 kg were selected and tagged 1–6. For all the animals’ right femur was selected as a control group (R) and left femur as test group (L). Initially, implants of dimensions 3.75x8mm (Adin Touareg) were placed in the right femur. Implant stability quotient (ISQ) values were recorded using OSSTELL ISQ at the time of surgery (R0), after one month (R1), and the end of the second month (R2).

After two months of uneventful healing, implants were placed on the left femur of all the six rabbits and three were grouped as 2S (subjected to 2 HBO sessions at the weekly interval) and other three as 4S (subjected to 4 HBO sessions at weekly interval for a month). At the time of surgery (L0), end of one month (L1), and two months (L2), ISQ values were recorded and subjected to statistical analysis. The total duration of the study was 4 months from 3 March 2013 to 03 July 2013.

Results: The data were statistically analyzed using t-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA). On the comparison between the control group (R) and test groups (2S and 4S) ISQ values for test groups were more which was highly statistically significant (p <0.001). Among the two test groups 4S group has more ISQ values compared to 2S (p <0.001).

Conclusion: This study indicated that HBO therapy has a promotive effect on the rate of osseointegration of dental implants.

Clinical significance: Study opens new scope for further in vivo research in utilizing hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) in implant surgeries, maxillofacial trauma cases and irradiated patients to hasten or improve osseointegration.
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INTRODUCTION

Implants have been used to support dental prostheses for many decades. They are the nearest equivalent replacement to the natural tooth and are therefore a useful addition in the management of patients who have missing teeth because of disease, trauma or developmental anomalies. The concept of osseointegration was given by Branemark on which the success of an implant treatment depends and is defined as direct structural and functional connection between ordered, living bone and the surface of a load-carrying implant. It has been advocated that after implant placement, surgical sites should be unloaded for at least 3–6 months to allow uneventful wound healing, thereby enhancing osseointegration between the implant and bone. The rationale behind this approach is that implant micromovement caused by functional force around the bone-implant interface during wound healing may induce fibrous tissue formation rather than the bone contact, leading to clinical failure. In addition, tissue coverage of an implant has also been thought to prevent infection and epithelial down growth. However, this discomfort, inconvenience, and anxiety associated with waiting period remains a challenge to both patients and clinicians.

Osseointegration can be hastened using surface treatments like surface etched, plasma sprayed surface, etc. All the methods mentioned in the literature focus on changing the surface of the implant either by additive or subtractive methods. Another varied approach of enhancing osseointegration which is rapidly gaining importance in the field of dentistry is hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT). The use of HBOT in enhancing wound healing has been tested over years and found to be effective.

Implant stability plays a critical role in successful osseointegration. Primary stability is the one which is measured at the time of implant placement itself; hence it is considered as
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mechanical stability. Secondary stability or biological stability develops over a while due to the healing process. Implant stability is always the sum of mechanical and biological, hence achievement and maintenance of implant stability are prerequisites for successful clinical outcome. Therefore, measuring the implant stability is an important method for evaluating the success of an implant. Various methods have been proposed to quantify implant stability, some are grouped as invasive (viz histological analysis, reverse torque test) and other as noninvasive methods (radiographic analysis, resonance frequency analysis RFA, etc.).

Resonance frequency analysis (RFA) has recently gained popularity, which is a noninvasive diagnostic method that measures implant stability and bone density at various time points using vibration and principle of structural analysis as based on early studies of Meredith. The principle of the resonance frequency is the most reliable in assessing implant stability clinically. Various methods have been proposed to quantify implant stability, some

The present study was done to evaluate the rapid healing effect of HBOT by using RFA (Osstell ISQ system) instrument. The null hypothesis was hyperbaric oxygen therapy does not have any effect on osseointegration.

**Material and Methods**

The following study was conducted in Aptus Bioscience Pvt Ltd, SVS Medical College campus. Ethical clearance was obtained from the SVS Institute of Dental Sciences Institutional Ethics Committee on 28 November 2012.

Six New Zealand white male rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) of age 2–2.5 years, weight approximately 2 kg were selected. RFA instrument (Osstell ISQ, Sweden) was used to determine implant stability, and HBOT therapy was given in HBOT chamber (Sechrist Monoplace Hyperbaric Chamber, US).

**Methodology**

- **Mock procedure:** Euthanized rabbit of New Zealand white breed was procured from Aptus Bio Labs. Right femoral bone was amputated completely at hip level to observe the anatomy. Radiographic analysis of the femoral bone was done, and a thorough discussion about various parameters of rabbit bone morphology and physiology was done with the local veterinarian, based on which age, sex, and weight of animals were selected for the study.
- **Selection of animals and implants:** Six healthy adult male New Zealand white breed rabbits of approximately 2–2.5 years age were selected. All the rabbits weighed approximately 2 kg and were tagged 1–6. Preoperative radiographs of the femur were taken and 12 Adin Touareg S dental implants of dimensions 3.75 × 8 mm were selected for the procedure.
- **Surgical procedure for implant placement:** Surgical procedure was performed on 6 rabbits under the supervision of a veterinary surgeon.
- **Preoperative preparation:** Right femur was considered as a control for all the six rabbits and left femur as a test group. Furr over the femur was trimmed and shaved properly the day before surgery to expose the skin. Guaze dipped in betadine was placed over the exposed skin overnight till the time of surgery. All the rabbits were put on Nil Per Oral regime 6 hours before the surgical procedure. Preoperative prophylaxis was given 1 hour before the surgery with 1 gm Cefotaxim IM and 50 mg Diclofenac sodium IM.
- **Anesthesia:** A test dose of 0.1 mL/kg body wt was administered to all the animals. The animals were anesthetized using intramuscular administration of Xylazine at a dose of 1 mg/kg body weight, diazepam at a dose of 0.5 mL/kg body weight and ketamine hydrochloride at a dose of 2 mg/kg body weight mixed in a proportion of 1:2:1, respectively.
- **Incisions:** The surgery was performed under aseptic conditions. An incision was made on the skin of the lateral aspect of the right femur (Fig. 1A) using no.15 BP blade to expose underlying fascia. Another separate incision was made to open the fascial coverings over the muscle. The muscle spindles of muscle femorotibialis externus and biceps femori were divided to approach and expose a mid metaphyseal portion of the femur (Fig. 1B).
- **Dental implant placement:** Osteotomy site was prepared under profuse irrigation with saline solution with an initial 2 mm pilot drill followed by sequential drills of diameters 2.8 mm, 3.2 mm, to a final diameter of 3.6 mm and length of 7 mm. 3.75 × 8 mm implant (Adin Touareg) was placed in the prepared osteotomy site (Fig. 2) and torqued to 30 Ncm (Fig. 3). Implants were placed in the right femur (control) of all the six rabbits following the same surgical procedure. All the implants were placed 1 mm supra crestal to have the advantage of recording ISQ values at a later time.

Figs 1A and B: (A) Incision made on prepared femur; (B) Muscles femorotibialis externus and biceps femori divided
• Recording ISQ values: L-shaped transducer of osstell ISQ was tightened to the implant by a screw. Resonance peaks from received signal indicate the first resonance frequency of the measured object. This resonance peak was used to assess implant stability in a quantitative manner. Implant stability quotient ISQ values, R0 were recorded by placing smart peg (Fig. 4A) on the implant at the time of surgery with OSTELL ISQ (Fig.4B) on the implant at the time of surgery. Abutments were placed and incisions were sutured. Radiograph showing implant topography (Fig. 5). The prescribed dose of 1 gram Cefotaxime sodium IM 500 mg twice daily for 3 days and 50 mg diclofenac sodium IM twice daily for 3 days was administered. ISQ values were recorded after one month (R1) and later at the end of the second month (R2) and tabulated.

Surgical procedure for left leg (test group): After two months of first implantation procedure, the same osteotomy technique was followed and implants were placed in the left femur of all the six rabbits (test group) and were grouped into two, namely group 2S, rabbits with tags 1, 2, 3 were included in this group (subjected to 2 HBO sessions) and rabbits with tags 4, 5, 6 were included in group 4S (subjected to 4 HBOT sessions). Implant stability (L0) was determined by RFA.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT): All the animals were subjected to HBOT therapy immediately within 30 minutes of the osteotomy procedure. Each session of HBOT therapy consisted of subjecting the animal to 100% oxygen for 2 hours time at 1.5 atm pressure in a closed chamber. The rabbits were placed in the chamber, and the door was closed. The oxygen was circulated, and this gradually caused an increase in pressure called compression. During this period, the first 15 minutes were used for successive compression up to 1.5 atm pressure, and the pressure was kept constant for 90 minutes, and decompression proceeded for 15 minutes.

Group 2S rabbits were subjected to two sessions of HBOT at the weekly interval, and group 4S rabbits were subjected to 4 sessions of HBOT at the weekly interval.
The ISQ values were recorded after one month (L₁) and later at the end of the second month (L₂) (Figs 6A and B). The total duration of the study was 4 months from 03 March 2013 to 03 July 2013. ISQ values obtained were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

The results obtained were tabulated and the data was statistically analyzed using T-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA-F).

A t-test was done to compare control (R) and test groups (2S and 4S). At the end of 1st month, the mean of ISQ of the control group (R₁) was 55, and that of the test group (L₁) was 59.83 and \( p < 0.001 \) (Table 1) which indicated that results were highly statistically significant. At the end of the 2nd month, the mean of ISQ of the control group (R₂) was 60, and that of the test group (L₂) was 64 and \( p < 0.05 \) (Table 1) indicated that results were statistically significant.

On the comparison between 2S and 4S groups using t-test (Table 2). At the end of the 1st month, the mean of ISQ of 2S (2L₁) group was 59.33 which was less than that of 4S (4L₁) group, i.e., 60.33. But \( p > 0.05 \) indicated that results were statistically not significant.

\( p < 0.01 \) with high statistical significance was found at the end of the 2nd month with a mean of ISQ of 2S (2L₂) group being 61.33 which was less than that of 4S (4L₂), i.e., 66.67.

Table 3 shows a comprehensive comparison between the control group (R) and 2S, 4S test groups (L) at the end of the first and second month. ANOVA-F statistics were applied and \( p < 0.001 \) for test groups and was found to be highly statistically significant for all the groups.

Graph 1 shows a cumulative comparison of implant stability between control, 2S and 4S groups. It can be interpreted that HBOT has hastened the rate of the healing process in the 4S group when compared to 2S followed by control group.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was done to evaluate the effect of HBOT on the osseointegration of dental implants by determining implant stability using RFA (OSSTELL® ISQ). Results of this study revealed...
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Table 2: Comparison between 2S and 4S group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>ISQ values at surgery</th>
<th>1st month</th>
<th>2nd month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left femur of the rabbit (2 sessions of HBOT)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(2L0) 56</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>(2L1) 59.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left femur of the rabbit (4 sessions of HBOT)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(4L0) 56</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>(4L1) 60.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Degree of freedom: 4

t-statistic: 0, 2.121, 5.658
p-value: >0.05, <0.05, <0.01
Inference: Not significant, Significant, Highly significant

Table 3: Comparison control and 2S, 4S interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>ISQ values 1st month</th>
<th>2nd month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right femur of the rabbit (without HBOT)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left femur of the rabbit (with HBOT)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>59.33</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left femur of the rabbit (4 sessions of HBOT)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60.33</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Degree of freedom: 11
(ANOVA) F-statistic: 43.926, 113.426
p-value: <0.001, <0.001
Inference: Highly significant, Highly significant

Graph 1: Comparison of healing process of control, 2S, 4S groups

that HBOT therapy has significantly hastened osseointegration and implant stability was found to be improved.

The selection of rabbits for this study preceded reviewing of the available literature. The rabbit is one of the most commonly used animals, being used in approximately 35% of musculoskeletal research studies due to ease of handling and size. They reach sexual, skeletal maturity at around 6 months of age and similarities were found in the bone mineral density (BMD) and subsequently the fracture toughness of mid-diaphyseal bone between rabbits and humans.

HBOT was first documented in 1662 when Henshaw built the first hyperbaric chamber or domicilium. In 1927, Cunningham reported improvement in circulatory disorders at sea level and deterioration at altitude. A patient grateful to Cunningham built a steel ball hospital chamber. R Marx created a specific hyperbaric oxygen therapy protocol for the prophylactic treatment of osteoradionecrosis of the jaw before dental procedures. The use of this therapy is considered to be a standard of care by many dentists and hyperbaric physicians.

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy is defined by the undersea and hyperbaric medical society (UHMS) as a treatment in which a patient intermittently breathes 100% oxygen while the treatment chamber is pressurized to a pressure greater than sea level. Originally developed for the treatment of decompression sickness, HBOT is primarily an adjunctive treatment for the management of select non-healing wounds. This treatment is proven effective for a number of different medical and surgical conditions either as a primary or adjunctive treatment. It is also used to treat many other medical conditions that are still considered experimental by the mainstream medical establishment—despite decades of reported benefit.

Although the number of indications for hyperbarics may be quite large, the mechanisms of therapy are few. HBOT is believed to (1) enhance perfusion, (2) stimulate angiogenesis, (3) supersaturate the bloodstream with oxygen, (4) act as a bactericide, and (5) prevent the...
production of alpha toxin. The theories supporting these mechanisms are based on fundamental principles of medicine and physics.

Radiographic analysis (2001) and histomorphometric analysis (2008) of bone in rabbits showed that maximum amount of lamellar bone was formed around 4–5 weeks of the osteotomy.13 Based on these studies the present study was limited to a period of 2 months for each group.

The mean of ISQ values of L1 was 59.83 at the end of the 1st month which was more than that of R1 (55) which was statistically highly significant (p <0.001). At the end of 2nd month mean of ISQ for L2 was 64 and that of R2, 60 and results were statistically significant (p <0.05)

The improved ISQ values for L1 and L2 groups may be due to the effect of HBOT on enhancing wound healing. The results of present study support earlier studies of peter Nilsson et al. that HBOT promotes wound healing by collagen formation and fibroplastic proliferation.14,16

Comparing the test groups, 2S, and 4S (Table 2). At the end of the 1st month, though the mean of ISQ of 2S, 2L, being 59.33 which is less than that of 4S, 4L, being 60.33 the results were statistically insignificant (p >0.05). At the end of the 2nd month the mean of ISQ of 2S, 2L was 61.33 which was less than that of 4S, 4L being 66.67 with high statistical significance (p <0.001) indicating that 4 HBOT sessions were more effective when compared to 2 sessions of HBOT.

An overall comparison of ISQ values between control (right femur) and test groups (2S, 4S) was done in Table 3. Results revealed that implant stability was found to be improved with the HBOT in all the test groups. The mean ISQ of group 4S was found to be more among all the groups (Graph 1).

Results of the present study were supporting previous studies by Nilsson et al., which showed that HBOT treatment caused a significant increase of bone formation in the implants, and histology demonstrated has a marked effect on healing and remodeling processes of bone tissue.17 Granstrom et al.,18 evaluated the biological effects on oral tissues by hyperbaric treatment and proved that the periosteum of compact bone and mineral content increased. Giblin et al.,19 concluded that the O2 available in HBOT therapy was able to diffuse through membranes which explains the mechanism of action of the proposed hyperbaric oxygen therapy. Ueng et al.,20 proposed that hyperbaric oxygen (HBOT) therapy has been shown to enhance bone, muscle, skin, and wound healing, particularly in conditions of ischemia and low oxygen tension.

The results of the present study are consistent with the previous studies. Based on all these previous studies we can conclude that HBOT has a significant effect on healing and can hasten the osseointegration.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- Slight variations might be experienced when tested in humans due to differences in microstructural composition and physiology of bone healing.
- The study was conducted in the femur of rabbits, and mild variations may be encountered with human jaw bone (maxilla and mandible) due to the difference in bone architecture.
- Limited sample size.
- The number and frequency of HBOT sessions were limited.
- The histological and radiological analysis was not done.

Nevertheless, the present study provides information on the effect of HBOT on bone formation–osseointegration, RFA.

CONCLUSION

This in vivo study indicated that HBOT therapy has a promotive effect on the rate of osseointegration of implants. The minimum number of sessions required to obtain a significant improvement was found to be 4.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

This study opens a new scope for further in vivo research in utilizing HBOT and RFA in implant surgeries. It provides a proper understanding of implant stability and the method by which HBOT improves the same. Application of HBOT and RFA in case of the maxillofacial prosthesis, irradiated patients can also be experimented taking the present study as a baseline data.
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