Effect of Acidic Agents on Microhardness and Surface Morphology of Two Metal Ceramic Materials: An In Vitro Study
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ABSTRACT
Aim: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of acidic agents on microhardness and surface morphology of two metal ceramic materials at various intervals.

Materials and methods: A total of 120 metal ceramic disks were prepared and divided into two categories with 60 each. Each category was divided into two groups, group I (Made of IPS d.SIGN) and group II (made of VITA VMK), containing 30 samples each, which was subdivided into three subgroups each containing 10 samples A(i) B(ii) acting as control, A(ii) B(ii) immersed in 0.5% HCl, and A(iii) B(iii) immersed in soft cola drink. Group I was tested for microhardness with Vickers microhardness testing machine at an interval of 0 minute, 24 hours, and 168 hours after immersing in acidic agents. Group II was observed for surface morphology changes with scanning electron microscope before immersion, and at an interval of 24 hours and 168 hours after immersing in acidic agents. The results were analyzed statistically, tabulated, and compared.

Results: Results revealed that there was a significant decrease in microhardness of IPS d.SIGN and VITA VMK when immersed in acidic agents at an interval of 0 minute, 24 hours, and 168 hours. There were significant surface morphological changes too of both the ceramics before and after immersing in acidic agents at 24 hours and 168 hours.

Conclusion: Acidic agents evaluated in the present study affected microhardness and surface morphology of both the ceramics, and IPS d.SIGN is the most suitable and would be recommended in people who consume acidic beverages.

Clinical significance: Durability of dental ceramics is very good, but degradation occurs when exposed to acidic agents. All the properties of ceramics are to be known in depth as they are widely used to construct various types of prostheses, and this has to be considered especially in people who consume acidic foods and drinks.
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INTRODUCTION
Dental ceramics are used to construct different type of prosthesis like veneers, crowns, and FPD in prosthodontics. Excellent biocompatibility, resistance to wear, chemical inertness, and high aesthetic qualities are attributed to their high demand in dentistry. Even though all ceramic materials are introduced as more aesthetic materials these days, porcelain fused to metal restorations are frequently used due to their excellent fracture resistance and low cost compared to all ceramic materials. Dental ceramics are highly susceptible to fracture, as they exhibit inherent flaws on the surface and thus impair physical properties like surface roughness, surface hardness, and strength, which influence the clinical success and failure of ceramic restorations.

Degradation of dental ceramics occur by selective leaching of alkali ions when exposed to acidic agents, and the amount of degradation depends on the type of ceramic and it is more in carbonated beverages than in water.

Dental erosion is commonly seen in people who regularly consume acidic foods or with gastrointestinal disturbances. There would be dissolution of surface apatite crystals of enamel due to this erosion.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the changes of microhardness of two metal ceramics after immersing in acidic agents at an interval of 0 minute, 24 hours, and 168 hours, and surface morphology changes before immersion and after immersing in acidic agents at an interval of 24 hours and 168 hours.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This in vitro study was conducted in MNR Dental College and Hospital, Sangareddy, India. A total of 120 base metal (nickel...
chromium alloy) disks with a thickness of 0.5 mm were made by following conventional casting technique after the fabrication of wax patterns using depth micrometer. The opaque, dentin porcelain followed by glaze firings in Singlemat ceramic furnace as per manufacture instructions. They were divided into two categories. Category I was made up of IPS d.SIGN (IVOCLAR VIVADENT), and category II was made up of VITA VMK (VITA) each containing 60 samples. Each category was divided into two groups: group I containing 30 samples which were tested for microhardness were subdivided into three subgroups each containing 10 samples—A(i) acting a control, A(ii) immersed in 0.5% HCl, and A(iii) immersed and soft cola drink. Group II containing 30 samples were subdivided into three subgroups each containing 10 samples—B(i) acting as control, B(ii) and B(iii) containing 10 samples were treated with 0.5% HCl and soft cola drink as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. The immersion agents used in the study were distilled water (control), 0.5% HCl, and soft cola drink.

Group I samples were analyzed for microhardness with BUEHLER Vickers microhardness testing machine at surface engineering group, Defence Research and Development Organisation, Kanchanbagh, Hyderabad. The value of indentation load was such that indentation prints were crackless and at the same time easily measurable. Each specimen was placed on the test table, and after adjusting the table, diamond with as square-based pyramid was forced onto the specimen with a load of 200 g for 15 seconds with a diamond tip. After withdrawing the indenter, the projected area is viewed under microscope at 200x magnification, and the indentation diagonals were measured and area calculated. Three readings were calculated for each specimen ensuring that the surface of the specimens was representative. Vickers microhardness number (VHN) was calculated using the formula $VHN = \frac{\text{load}}{\text{area}}$ on indentation at an interval of 0 minute, 24 hours, and 168 hours after immersing in acidic agent solutions.

Group II samples were analyzed for surface morphology before immersion and at an interval of 24 hours and 168 hours after immersion in acidic agent solution (Fig. 2). The samples were rinsed with distilled water for 5 minutes, dried and fixed onto aluminum cylinder. Then they were sputter coated with a gold palladium alloy for 8 minutes and examined under scanning electron microscope (ZIESS) for surface morphology changes ($\times$2,000 magnification).

Based on the nature of the surface of the sample after immersion under the SEM, they were graded in to mild, moderate, and severe.
Grade I (mild): Smooth and dense surface with very few porosities.
Grade II (moderate): Irregular surface with numerous porosities.
Grade III (severe): Degraded surface with voids channels and numerous distinguishable microundercuts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Division of specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPS d.SIGN-I (IVOCLAR) ($n = 60$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VITA VMK-II ($n = 60$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
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</table>

$n$, number of sample

Figs 1A and B: (A) Specimens of IPS d.SIGN; (B) Specimens of VITA VMK

Fig. 2: Acidic agent solutions
**Statistical Analysis**

In the present study, the Vickers microhardness values of specimens after immersing in acidic agents have shown a decrease in hardness when compared to the control group in both the categories at different intervals. As described in Table 2, the mean microhardness of IA(i) which acted as control was (531.60 VHN at 0 minute), (530.92 VHN at 24 hours), and (479.14 VHN at 168 hours) and in subgroup IA(ii) which were immersed in 0.5% HCl it was (507.94 VHN at 0 minute), (481.74 VHN at 24 hours), and (354.17 VHN at 168 hours) whereas in subgroup IA(iii) which were immersed in soft cola drinks it was (504.24 VHN at 0 minute), (470.26 VHN at 24 hours), and (3,98,094 VHN at 168 hours).

As described in Table 3, the mean microhardness of IIA(i) which acted as control was (492.02 VHN at 0 minute), (487.52 VHN at 24 hours), (485.33 VHN at 168 hours), and in subgroup IIA(ii) which were immersed in 0.5% HCl it was (488.22 VHN at 0 minute), (462.65 VHN at 24 hours), (356.02 VHN at 168 hours) and in subgroup IIA(iii) it was (486.68 VHN at 0 minute), (445.76 VHN at 24 hours), and (388.37 VHN at 168 hours).

SEM analysis showed the surfaces with alterations, irregularities, and pores at varying degrees. Such surface patterns were more evident in these ceramics as they contained leucite particles in their composition.

Statistical analysis revealed that there was a significant difference within the categories at various intervals after immersing in acidic agents. Control group of VITA VMK displayed a smooth and dense surface with very little porosities and that of IPS d.SIGN displayed peculiar needle-like crystals in a dense matrix, and these were seen to be fluoroapatite crystals which were disappeared after immersing in acidic agents.

**Results**

In the present study, the Vickers microhardness values of specimens after immersing in acidic agents have shown a decrease in hardness when compared to the control group in both the categories at different intervals. As described in Table 2, the mean microhardness of IA(i) which acted as control was (531.60 VHN at 0 minute), (530.92 VHN at 24 hours), and (479.14 VHN at 168 hours) and in subgroup IA(ii) which were immersed in 0.5% HCl it was (507.94 VHN at 0 minute), (481.74 VHN at 24 hours), and (354.17 VHN at 168 hours) whereas in subgroup IA(iii) which were immersed in soft cola drinks it was (504.24 VHN at 0 minute), (470.26 VHN at 24 hours), and (3,98,094 VHN at 168 hours).

As described in Table 3, the mean microhardness of IIA(i) which acted as control was (492.02 VHN at 0 minute), (487.52 VHN at 24 hours), (485.33 VHN at 168 hours), and in subgroup IIA(ii) which were immersed in 0.5% HCl it was (488.22 VHN at 0 minute), (462.65 VHN at 24 hours), (356.02 VHN at 168 hours) and in subgroup IIA(iii) it was (486.68 VHN at 0 minute), (445.76 VHN at 24 hours), and (388.37 VHN at 168 hours).

**Discussion**

Hardness is a measure of resistance to permanent indentation. The Vickers microhardness (VHN) test quantifies the hardness and is measured according to the depth of indentation of a diamond pyramid. Durability of ceramic materials is very good and is influenced by factors such as the composition and the microstructure of ceramic material, the chemical character of corrosive medium, the exposure time, and temperature. The acidic agents used in the study were 0.5% HCl and soft cola drink whose pH was below 3.5. Specific interest in selecting these agents was that cola is one of the most consumed soft drinks, consists of carbonic and citric acid, and is therefore highly acidic drink. 0.5% HCl is the main acid present in the gastric juice and its regurgitation into the oral cavity was the common symptom among the people having upper alimentary tract diseases. These acids might cause the elemental dissolution of ceramics due to its chelating effect.

The degradation of ceramics generally occurs because of mechanical factors or chemical attack. The possible physiologic side effects on ceramic materials due to this are their tendency to abrade opposing dental structures, emission of ions which may influence further degradation, the roughening of surface leading to plaque retention. Due to this degradation, there is dissolution of glass network leading to crack growth, non uniform stress distribution, effecting the mechanical properties such as hardness.

**Table 2: The mean microhardness values of IPS d.SIGN (category I) when immersed in acidic agents at various intervals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage agent</th>
<th>0 minute</th>
<th>24 hours</th>
<th>168 hours</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water (I) A1</td>
<td>531.60±63.89</td>
<td>530.92±42.15</td>
<td>479.14±39.25</td>
<td>0.327 not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% HCl (I) Aii</td>
<td>507.94±15.08</td>
<td>481.74±24.17</td>
<td>354.17±46.99</td>
<td>0.000 significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cola drink (I) Aiii</td>
<td>504.24±23.40</td>
<td>470.26±31.00</td>
<td>398.94±27.88</td>
<td>0.000 significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically significant = $p < 0.05$

**Table 3: The mean microhardness values of VITA VMK (category II) before and after immersing acidic agents at different intervals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage agent</th>
<th>0 minute</th>
<th>24 hours</th>
<th>168 hours</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water IIA(i)</td>
<td>492.02±34.46</td>
<td>487.52±24.68</td>
<td>485.33±8.90</td>
<td>0.831 not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% HCl IIA(ii)</td>
<td>488.22±17.36</td>
<td>462.65±35.11</td>
<td>356.02±36.44</td>
<td>0.000 significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cola drink IIA(iii)</td>
<td>486.68±31.58</td>
<td>445.76±29.77</td>
<td>388.37±23.63</td>
<td>0.000 significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistically significant = $p < 0.05$
Dental erosion is the wear of dental hard tissues by acids. It is an irreversible condition caused by intrinsic factors like acid reflux seen in gastrointestinal disturbances and extrinsic factors like soft cola drinks which are commonly consumed. There would be dissolution of hydroxyapatite present in the enamel which is seen immediately after consuming acid beverages. The dissolution of enamel depends on the duration and frequency of acid reflux.

Acidic beverages which are routinely consumed also effected the ceramic surface due to loss of ions from the surface and amount being dependent on the type of ceramic, wear of ceramic being more in carbonated beverages than in water.

Fluroapatite ceramics were the best among the tested ceramics as the fluroapatite particle contribute to high chemical durability. Feldspathic ceramic contains leucite particles which contract more when compared to glass that is surrounding it when ceramic is cooled during firing stage. Susceptibility of ceramics to acids is increased, which results in decreased microhardness due to microcracks that are a result of stress during cooling of ceramics.

According to Junpoom et al., the two mechanisms that are responsible for decrease in microhardness are (a) leaching of alkali ions and (b) glass network dissolution, and this mechanism is controlled by interchange of alkali ions and $H^+$ ions, and $H_3O^+$ ions between glass and aqueous solution and are leached out.

The present study is supported by the study conducted by Kukiattrakoon et al. who evaluated different acidic agents and their effect on various properties of dental porcelain. All four types of dental porcelains tested were affected by the acidic agents, and fluroapatite porcelain would be the most appropriate one in case of people who regularly consume acidic beverages.

The findings of the present study were supported by Kukiattrakoon et al. who evaluated different naturally occurring acidic agents on properties of fluroapatite–leucite ceramics and concluded that there was a significant decrease in microhardness and also the weight percentages of silicon, potassium, aluminum, and sodium after immersion.

A similar study done by Yu et al. on polymer infiltrated ceramics and their wear behavior concluded that acid erosion decreased the surface microhardness and increased surface roughness and it is in favor with the present study.
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The results of the present study are supported by the studies conducted by Deny et al., 28 Eleana et al., 29 and Vechiato-Filho et al. 30 A study done by Al-Hiyasat et al. 31 is similar to the present study and also in favor which concluded that Coca-Cola not only contributed to the enamel wear by demineralizing the tooth and making it susceptible to the abrasive effect of the ceramic materials but also effected the wear of the ceramic material.

SEM analysis showed the surfaces with alterations, irregularities, and pores at varying degrees. There was more degradation of surface with voids and channel at various interval when immersed in 0.5% HCl when compared to both control group (distilled water) and soft cola drinks, and this might be due to more aggressive nature of HCl whose pH was low when compared to cola drink and is this caused the dissolution of crystalline structure leading to this appearance and loss of particles from its composition. Similar changes were seen in study conducted by Sinnazisik et al. 32

The results of this study are supported by the study conducted by Kukiattrakoon et al. 22,23,24 on porcelain surfaces feature due to the acidic agents who stated that SEM pictures of VITA VMK immersed in different acidic agents showed various patterns. Before immersion, surface was dense with little porosity; in deionized water, surface showed a little porosity; there were numerous porosities on the surface immersed in citrate solution and green mango juice; in pineapple juice, surface exhibited the degradation with numerous porosities, and in acetic acid, there were small cracks with surface degradation.

The results of this study are supported by the study conducted by Percy et al. 19,33 on surface corrosion of dental ceramics who stated that the traditional leucite containing ceramics display an obvious reference by Percy et al. 19,33 on surface corrosion of dental ceramics who stated that the traditional leucite containing ceramics display an obvious reference by Percy et al. 19,33 on surface corrosion of dental ceramics who stated that the traditional leucite containing ceramics display an obvious.

More degradation of category II when compared to category I was due to heterogenous crystalline nature of VITA VMK.

In this study, only microhardness and surface morphology were used as parameters to evaluate the degradation of metal ceramics. Additional methods such as depth analysis, surface roughness, and elements released into acidic agents need to be employed to further confirm the results of the present study. As it was an in vitro study, further studies are recommended to explain the degradation effect in vivo and also on other types of ceramics.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of the study, it was concluded that

- The acidic agents (0.5% HCl, soft cola drink) evaluated had an adverse effect on both the microhardness and surface morphology of the two metal ceramic materials.
- Fluorapatite leucite ceramics (IPS d.SIGN) are the most suitable and recommended for the restorations in people who consume acidic beverages.
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